arXiv:1210.6396v2 [math.QA] 27 Nov 2012

FINITE-DIMENSIONAL POINTED OR COPOINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS OVER AFFINE RACKS

AGUSTÍN GARCÍA IGLESIAS AND CRISTIAN VAY

Abstract. We study the copointed, respectively pointed, liftings of Nichols algebras associated to affine racks and constant cocycle over $\Bbbk^G$, respectively $\Bbbk G$, for any finite group G admitting a principal YD-realization of the rack. In the copointed case we complete the classification for the four affine racks whose Nichols algebra is known to be of finite dimension. In the pointed case our method allows us to finish three of them. In all of the cases the Hopf algebras obtained turn out to be cocycle deformations of their associated graded Hopf algebras. All of them are new examples of finite-dimensional copointed or pointed Hopf algebras over non-abelian groups.

1. Introduction

Let $\Bbbk$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero and let H be a semisimple Hopf algebra over $\Bbbk$. This work is in the framework of the classification of finite-dimensional Hopf algebras whose coradical is a Hopf subalgebra isomorphic to H. Let $\mathfrak{F}_H$ be the family of such Hopf algebras. This problem has two interrelated sub-problems:

- To determine all $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ such that the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ is finite-dimensional and give a presentation of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$.
- To classify the lifting Hopf algebras of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over H.

If $A \in \mathfrak{F}_H$ is generated in degree one, then A is a lifting of a Nichols algebra over its corradical. It was conjectured that this holds when H is a group algebra [AS1]. These three steps compose the Lifting Method of [AS3].

First defined by Nichols, and also called quantum symmetric algebras, Nichols algebras are determined by a profound combinatorial behavior which is no yet fully understood. They are not Hopf algebras in the usual sense, but rather Hopf algebras in the category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$.

Let G be a group. If $H = \Bbbk G$ and G is abelian, all $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ with $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V) < \infty$ have been determined in [H] and the presentation of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, together with a positive answer to the conjecture in [AS1] were given in [A1, A2]. If G is non-abelian, it has been shown that for many (simple)

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16T05.

This work was partially supported by ANPCyT-FONCyT, CONICET, MinCyT (Córdoba) and Secyt (UNC). Part of the work of A.G.I. was done as a fellow of the Erasmus Mundus programme of the EU in the Università degli Studi di Padova.

groups most $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ yield Nichols algebras of infinite dimension [AFGV1, AFGV2]. Furthermore, only a few examples of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras are known, see below. Up to date, it is very complicated to find the relations defining the Nichols algebras and to compute their dimension, even using the computer, see [G2]. Notice that Nichols algebras in ${}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ and ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ coincide since these categories are braided equivalent, see 2.2.

Recall that the Hopf algebras in $\mathfrak{F}_{\Bbbk G}$ are called *pointed*, while those in $\mathfrak{F}_{\Bbbk^G}$ are called *copointed*, *cf.* [AV1].

The most prominent result in the classification of Hopf algebras is in [AS3] where the pointed Hopf algebras over an abelian group of order coprime with 210 are classified. The classification of nontrivial, *i.e.* different from group algebras, pointed Hopf algebras over non-abelian group is known for: $\mathbb{S}_3$ [AHS], $\mathbb{S}_4$ [GG] and $\mathbb{D}_{4t}$ [FG][1]. In the copointed case the classification is known only for $\mathbb{S}_3$ [AV1]. The Hopf algebras obtained in the above results are all liftings of Nichols algebras over their corradical and cocycle deformations of each other [Ma2, FG, AV2, GIM, GaM].

Also, in [CDMM] the liftings of the quantum line over four families of nontrivial semisimple Hopf algebras are classified, and in [ArMŞ] another approach for lifting problem is proposed.

Nichols algebras of finite-dimension over non-abelian groups appear associated to racks and 2-cocycles, see [AG2]. It is worth mentioning that racks appear also in the calculus of knot invariants [GT]. Next, we list all pairs of non-abelian indecomposable racks and cocycles whose associated Nichols algebras are known to be finite-dimensional.

(1) Racks of the conjugacy classes $\mathcal{O}^n_m$ of m-cycles in $\mathbb{S}_n$:

- The rack $\mathcal{O}^n_2$ and constant 2-cocycle -1, $n = 3, 4, 5$.
- The rack $\mathcal{O}^n_2$ and a non-constant 2-cocycle χ, $n = 4, 5$.
- The rack $\mathcal{O}^4_4$ and constant 2-cocycle -1.

Their Nichols algebras were studied in [MS, FK] for $n = 3$ [AG2] for $n = 4$ and $n = 5$ with constant 2-cocycle and [GG] for $n = 5$ and non-constant 2-cocycle. In [V] it is shown that the Nichols algebras associated to $\mathcal{O}^n_2$ with constant and non-constant 2-cocycle are twist equivalent. All of these racks can be realized over the symmetric groups and their duals. The families $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{S}_3}$ and $\mathfrak{F}_{\mathbb{S}_4}$ were classified in [AHS, GG] respectively, and $\mathfrak{F}_{\Bbbk^{\mathbb{S}_3}}$ in [AV1].

(2) The affine racks:

- $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ (which is actually isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^3_2$), $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$, $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$ with constant 2-cocycle -1 [MS, G1, AG2].
- $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$ and a non-constant 2-cocycle ζ [HLV] with ζ_{ii} a third root of 1 for $i \in \mathbb{F}_4$.

---

[1] Also they have been classified the cases $\mathbb{A}_n$, $n \geq 5$, and most simple sporadic groups but all turn out to be group algebras [AFGV1, AFGV2, FV].

The aim of this work is to study both the pointed and copointed lifting of the Nichols algebras associated to affine racks $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ and constant 2-cocycle -1. In this case no liftings are known, apart from the case $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$, see [AG3, Theorem 3.8]. In [AAMGV] a general strategy to classify the family $\mathfrak{F}_H$ is developed. We adapt the ideas there to compute the pointed, and copointed, liftings of these Nichols algebras over any group G. We also give results which apply to other racks.

Here it is our main result in the pointed case. The generation in degree one was proved in [AG3, Theorem 2.1].

Main Theorem 1. *Let G be a finite group. The pointed Hopf algebras over G whose infinitesimal braiding is a principal YD-realization of an affine rack X and constant 2-cocycle $q \equiv -1$ are classified by*

- *Theorem 5.1, if $X = (\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$.*
- *Theorem 5.3, if $X = (\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$.*
- *Theorem 5.4, if $X = (\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$.*

All of these liftings are cocycle deformations of $\mathfrak{B}(X)\#\Bbbk G$. □

The first item is already in [AG3, Theorem 3.8], without the statement about cocycle deformations. It is important to remark that in some of these new examples, some of the relations are not only deformed by elements in the coradical, but also by elements in higher terms of the coradical filtration. This phenomenon is quite new, and was only present previously in some deformations in [He] for the abelian case.

Since the Nichols algebra only depends of the braiding, the generation in degree one for the copointed case follows from [AG3, Theorem 2.1].

Main Theorem 2. *Let G be a finite group. The copointed Hopf algebras over $\Bbbk^G$ whose infinitesimal braiding is a principal YD-realization of an affine rack X and constant 2-cocycle $q \equiv -1$ are classified by*

- *Theorem 4.3, if $X = (\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$.*
- *Theorem 4.5, if $X = (\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$, $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$.*

All of these liftings are cocycle deformations of $\mathfrak{B}(X)\#\Bbbk^G$. □

We explicitly define biGalois objects to prove the last assertion. These liftings are new examples of Hopf algebras.

The Hopf algebras found are presented as quotients of bosonizations of tensor algebras. Hence the greatest obstacle to achieve our principal results is to show that these quotients have the right dimension, or just to show that they are nonzero. The same issue is present in the rest of the works cited above. We are able to avoid this obstacle by showing that the quotient is a cocycle deformation, as proposed in [AAMGV]. However, some very complicated computation is necessary at an intermediate step and we are forced to appeal to computer program [GAP] and the package [GBNP]. However, we find that the computer is not always enough and some examples cannot be attacked with this method. The same computational impediment is present in the calculation of Nichols algebras themselves. Hence, new tools are required to attack these problems, such as representation theory as in [AV2, GG, FG].

The paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give the correspondence between Nichols algebras in braided equivalent categories of Yetter-Drinfeld modules. We recall the notions of Yetter-Drinfeld realization of a rack over a group and introduce the particular case of an affine realization, in order to give concrete examples of groups for the racks we will be working with. We also fix some notation and recall the presentation of the Nichols algebras associated to the affine racks. In Section 3 we go through the ideas in [AAMGV] and adapt them to prove new results that apply in our setting. Finally, in Sections 4 and 5 we apply these results to compute all the liftings of the Nichols algebras associated to the affine racks in consideration. We also include an Appendix with the ideas behind some of the computations.

Acknowledgments. We thank Nicolás Andruskiewitsch for suggesting us this problem and the many useful comments he shared with us in previous versions of this work. We also thank Iván Angiono and Leandro Vendramín for very useful discussions. In particular, the idea for computing coproducts with [GAP] came up in conversations with L. V. Also, A. G. I. specially thanks Giovanna Carnovale from the Università degli Studi di Padova where part of his work was done for her warm hospitality and help. We are also indebted to Martín Mombelli, for sharing his knowledge about braided categories with us.

2. Preliminaries

2.1. **Conventions and Notation.** We work over an algebraically closed field $\Bbbk$ of characteristic zero; $\Bbbk^* := \Bbbk \setminus \{0\}$. $\mathbb{P}^n$ denotes the projective space of $\Bbbk^{n+1}$. If X is a set, then $\Bbbk X$ denotes the free vector space over X. If A is an algebra and $X \subset A$, then $\langle X \rangle$ is the two-sided ideal generated by X.

Let G be a finite group. We denote by e the identity element of G, by $\Bbbk G$ its group algebra and by $\Bbbk^G$ the function algebra on G. The usual basis of $\Bbbk G$ is $\{g : g \in G\}$ and $\{\delta_g : g \in G\}$ is its dual basis in $\Bbbk^G$, i.e. $\delta_g(h) = \delta_{g,h}$ for all $g, h \in G$. If M is a $\Bbbk^G$-module and $g \in G$, the isotypic component of weight g is $M[g] = \delta_g \cdot M$. We write $\operatorname{supp} M = \{g \in G : M[g] \neq 0\}$ and $M^\times = \oplus_{g \neq e} M[g]$. The symmetric group in n letters is denoted by $\mathbb{S}_n$; $\operatorname{sgn} : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{Z}_2$ denotes the morphism given by the sign.

If H is a Hopf algebra, then Δ, ε, $\mathcal{S}$ denote respectively the comultiplication, the counit and the antipode. We use Sweedler notation for comultiplication and coaction but dropping the summation symbol. We denote by $\{H_i\}_{i \geq 0}$ the coradical filtration of H and by $\operatorname{gr} H = \oplus_{n \geq 0} \operatorname{gr}^n H = \oplus_{n \geq 0} H_n / H_{n-1}$ the associated graded Hopf algebra of H with $H_{-1} = 0$.

2.1.1. *Galois objects.* Let H be a Hopf algebra, A a left comodule algebra and $C = {}^{\operatorname{co} H}A \subset H$. A is called a left H-Galois extension of C if the map

$$\operatorname{can} : A \otimes_C A \to H \otimes A, \quad a \otimes b \mapsto a_{(-1)} \otimes a_{(0)}b$$

is a bijection. We will consider H-Galois extensions over the base field $\Bbbk$, which are called (left) H-Galois objects. Analogously, right H-Galois objects are defined. Let L be another Hopf algebra. An (H, L)-bicomodule algebra is an (H, L)-biGalois object if it is a bicomodule which is both a left H-Galois object and a right L-Galois object.

2.2. **Nichols algebras.** From now $\mathcal{C}$ denotes a category of (left, right or left-right) Yetter-Drinfeld modules over a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra H. Then $\mathcal{C}$ is a braided monoidal category. Let c be the canonical braiding of $\mathcal{C}$. See e. g. [K] for details about braided monoidal categories.

If $V \in \mathcal{C}$, the tensor algebra $T(V)$ is an algebra in $\mathcal{C}$. As $\mathcal{C}$ is braided, $(m \otimes m) \circ (\operatorname{id} \otimes c \otimes \operatorname{id})$ defines an algebra structure in $T(V) \otimes T(V)$. Hence $T(V)$ is a Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ extending the next maps to algebra morphisms

$$\Delta(v) = v \otimes 1 + 1 \otimes v, \quad \varepsilon(v) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{S}(v) = -v, \quad v \in V.$$

Definition 2.1. The Nichols algebra of $V \in \mathcal{C}$ is $\mathfrak{B}(V) = T(V)/\mathcal{J}(V)$ where $\mathcal{J}(V) = \bigoplus_n \mathcal{J}^n(V)$ is the largest Hopf ideal generated by homogeneous elements of degree ≥ 2. A pre-Nichols algebra $R = T(V)/I$ is a graded Hopf algebra in $\mathcal{C}$ such that $I \subset \mathcal{J}(V)$. In particular, $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_k}(V) = T(V)/\mathcal{J}_k(V)$ with $\mathcal{J}_k(V) = \langle \bigoplus_{l=2}^{k} \mathcal{J}^l(V) \rangle$ is a pre-Nichols algebra.

Let A be a Hopf algebra such that $\operatorname{gr} A$ is isomorphic to the bosonization $\mathfrak{B}(V)\#H$. In this case $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ is called the *infinitesimal braiding* of A and A is said to be a *lifting* of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over H.

We recall another characterization of $\mathcal{J}(V)$, see e. g. [AG1, AS2]. Let $\mathbb{B}_n$ be the *Braid group.* It is generated by $\{\sigma_i : 1 \leq i < n\}$ subject to the relations $\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i = \sigma_{i+1}\sigma_i\sigma_{i+1}$ and $\sigma_i\sigma_j = \sigma_j\sigma_i$ for all $1 \leq i < n$ and j such that $|i - j| > 1$. The projection $\mathbb{B}_n \twoheadrightarrow \mathbb{S}_n$, $\sigma_i \mapsto (i\, i+1)$, $1 \leq i < n$, admits a set-theoretical section $s : \mathbb{S}_n \to \mathbb{B}_n$ defined by $s(i\, i+1) = \sigma_i$, $1 \leq i < n$, and $s(\tau) = \sigma_{i_1} \cdots \sigma_{i_\ell}$, if $\tau = (i_1\, i_1 + 1) \cdots (i_\ell\, i_\ell + 1)$ with ℓ minimum. It is known as the *Matsumoto section.* The *quantum symmetrizer* is the element

$$\mathbf{S}_n = \sum_{\tau \in \mathbb{S}_n} s(\tau) \in \Bbbk\mathbb{B}_n.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{B}_n$ acts on $V^{\otimes n}$ via the asignment $\sigma_i \mapsto c_{i,i+1}$, $1 \leq i < n$, where $c_{i,i+1} : V^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes n}$ is the morphism

$$\operatorname{id} \otimes c \otimes \operatorname{id} : V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-1} \longrightarrow V^{\otimes i-1} \otimes V^{\otimes 2} \otimes V^{\otimes n-i-1}.$$

Then the homogeneous components of $\mathcal{J}(V)$ are given by

$$\mathcal{J}^k(V) = \ker \mathbf{S}_k, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

2.2.1. *Correspondence between Nichols algebras in braided equivalent categories.* Let H' be a finite-dimensional Hopf algebra, $\mathcal{C}'$ be a category of Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H'. Assume there is a functor $(F,\eta):\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}'$ of braided monoidal categories; thus $F:\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}'$ is a functor and $\eta:\otimes\circ F^2\to F\circ\otimes$ is a natural isomorphism such that the next diagrams commute:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(U)\otimes F(V)\otimes F(W) \arrow[r, "\eta\otimes\mathrm{id}"] \arrow[d, "\mathrm{id}\otimes\eta"'] & F(U\otimes V)\otimes F(W) \arrow[d, "\eta"] \\
F(U)\otimes F(V\otimes W) \arrow[r, "\eta"'] & F(U\otimes V\otimes W),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{1}$$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(U)\otimes F(V) \arrow[r, "c_{F(U),F(V)}"] \arrow[d, "\eta"'] & F(V)\otimes F(U) \arrow[d, "\eta"] \\
F(U\otimes V) \arrow[r, "F(c_{U,V})"'] & F(V\otimes U),
\end{tikzcd} \tag{2}$$

for each $U,V,W\in\mathcal{C}$. Fix $V\in\mathcal{C}$. For $m,n\in\mathbb{N}$ let $\eta_{m,n}=\eta_{V^{\otimes m},V^{\otimes n}}$ and

$$\eta_n=\eta_{n-1,1}(\eta_{n-2,1}\otimes\mathrm{id})\cdots(\eta_{2,1}\otimes\mathrm{id})(\eta\otimes\mathrm{id}):F(V)^{\otimes n}\longrightarrow F(V^{\otimes n}).$$

By abuse of notation, we still write $\eta=\eta_{1,1}=\eta_2$. By (1), it holds that

$$\eta_{m+n+k}=\eta_{m,n+k}\,(\mathrm{id}\otimes\eta_{n,k})\,(\eta_m\otimes\eta_n\otimes\eta_k),\quad m,n,k\in\mathbb{N}. \tag{3}$$

Note that $\mathbb{B}_n$ acts on $F(V^{\otimes n})$ via $\sigma_i\mapsto F(c_{i,i+1})$. Then the commutative diagram (2) implies that η is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{B}_2$-modules. Moreover, combining (1) and (2) with the fact that η is a natural isomorphism, we obtain that $\eta_n:F(V)^{\otimes n}\longrightarrow F(V^{\otimes n})$ is an isomorphism of $\mathbb{B}_n$-modules in $\mathcal{C}'$. As consequence we have the next correspondence theorem.

Theorem 2.2. *Assume $(F,\eta):\mathcal{C}\to\mathcal{C}'$ is exact. Let $V\in\mathcal{C}$ with $\dim V<\infty$. The ideals defining the Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ and $\mathfrak{B}(F(V))$ are related by*

$$\mathcal{J}^n(F(V))=\eta_n^{-1}F(\mathcal{J}^n(V))\ \text{ for all } n\in\mathbb{N}.$$

If F preserves dimensions, then $\dim\mathfrak{B}^n(V)=\dim\mathfrak{B}^n(F(V))$ for all $n\in\mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Recall that $\mathcal{J}^n(F(V))$ is the kernel of $\mathbf{S}_n$ acting on $F(V)^{\otimes n}$, $n\in\mathbb{N}$. Since F is exact and η_n is an isomorphism, the theorem follows. □

We can apply the above to the categories ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and ${}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{YD}$. In fact, by [AG1, Proposition 2.2.1] they are braided equivalent monoidal categories via the functor (F,η) defined as follows: $F(V)=V$ as vector space,

$$\begin{aligned}
&f\cdot v=\langle f,\mathcal{S}(v_{(-1)})\rangle v_{(0)},\quad \delta(v)=f_i\otimes\mathcal{S}^{-1}(h_i)v\quad\text{and}\\
&\eta:F(V)\otimes F(W)\longmapsto F(V\otimes W),\quad v\otimes w\mapsto w_{(-1)}v\otimes w_{(0)}
\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

for every $V,W\in{}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, $f\in H^*$, $v\in V$, $w\in W$. Here $\{h_i\}$ and $\{f_i\}$ are dual bases of H and H^*.

Lemma 2.3. *Let $V \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ of finite dimension.*

(a) *If $M \subset V^{\otimes n}$ in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$, then*

$$F(V)^{\otimes m} \otimes \eta_n^{-1} F(M) \otimes F(V)^{\otimes k} = (\eta_{m+n+k})^{-1} F(V^{\otimes m} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes k}).$$

(b) $\langle \eta_n^{-1} F(M)\rangle = \sum_{m,k} (\eta_{m+n+k})^{-1} F(V^{\otimes m} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes k})$.

(c) *If $N = \bigoplus_m N^m \subset T(V)$ in ${}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ and we consider $\overline{M} \subset T(V)/\langle N\rangle$, then $\overline{\eta_n^{-1} F(M)} = \eta_n^{-1} F(\overline{M})$ in $T(F(V))/\langle \bigoplus_m \eta_m^{-1} F(N^m)\rangle$.*

Proof. (a) Let $x \in V^{\otimes m}$, $r \in M$ and $y \in V^{\otimes k}$. By (3), there exist $x' \in V^{\otimes m}$, $r' \in M$ and $y' \in V^{\otimes k}$ such that $(\eta_{m+n+k})^{-1}(x \otimes r \otimes y) = \eta_m^{-1}(x') \otimes \eta_n^{-1}(r') \otimes \eta_k^{-1}(y')$. Also by (3), there exist $x'' \in V^{\otimes m}$, $r'' \in M$ and $y'' \in V^{\otimes k}$ such that $\eta_{m+n+k}(x \otimes r \otimes y) = x'' \otimes r'' \otimes y''$. Since $(\eta_{m+n+k})^{\pm 1}_{|V^{\otimes m} \otimes M \otimes V^{\otimes k}}$ are injective morphisms the statement follows. (b) and (c) are straightforward. □

Next theorem is a consequence of item (a) above. Item (c) is useful to find deformations of Nichols algebras.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume $\mathcal{J}(V)$ is generated by $M = \bigoplus_n M^n \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$. Then*

(a) $\bigoplus_n \eta_n^{-1} F(M^n) \in {}^{H^*}_{H^*}\mathcal{YD}$ *generates* $\mathcal{J}(F(V))$.

(b) $\mathcal{J}_k(F(V)) = \langle \bigoplus_{l=2}^{k} \eta_l^{-1} F(\mathcal{J}^l(V))\rangle$. □

2.3. **Principal YD-realization of a rack.** Recall that a *rack* is a set X with a binary operation $\triangleright : X \times X \to X$ satisfying certain axioms and a *2-cocycle* is a function $q : X \times X \to \Bbbk^*$ compatible with $\triangleright$, see [AG2].

A *principal YD-realization* of (X, q) over a finite group G [AG3, Definition 3.2] is a collection $(\cdot, g, (\chi_i)_{i\in X})$ where

- $\cdot$ is an action of G on X;
- $g : X \to G$ is a function such that $g_{h\cdot i} = h g_i h^{-1}$ and $g_i \cdot j = i \triangleright j$;
- $\{\chi_i\}_{i\in X}$ is a *1-cocycle* – that is a family of maps $\chi_i : G \to \Bbbk^\times$ such that $\chi_i(ht) = \chi_{t\cdot i}(h)\chi_i(t)$ for all $i \in X$, $h, t \in G$ – satisfying $\chi_i(g_j) = q_{ji}$ for all $i, j \in X$, $h \in G$.

We will assume that all realizations are *faithful*, that is, g is injective.

These data induce a structure on $V = \Bbbk\{x_i\}_{i\in X}$ of Yetter-Drinfeld module over G [AG3]. Namely, the action and coaction are

$$t \cdot x_i = \chi_i(t) x_{t\cdot i} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(x_i) = g_i \otimes x_i, \quad t \in G, i \in X. \tag{5}$$

Then the smash product Hopf algebra $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ satisfies

$$t x_i = \chi_i(t) x_{t\cdot i} t, \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i, \quad t \in G, i \in X. \tag{6}$$

We denote by $V(X, q)$ this object, $T(X, q)$ its tensor algebra and $\mathfrak{B}(X, q)$, $\mathcal{J}(X, q)$, the corresponding Nichols algebra and its defining ideal; we omit the 2-cocycle q when there is no place for confusion.

We also denote by $V(X, q)$ the object in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ obtained by (4), that is:

$$\delta_t \cdot x_i = \delta_{t, g_i^{-1}} x_i \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(x_i) = \sum_{t\in G} \chi_i(t^{-1}) \delta_t \otimes x_{t^{-1}\cdot i}, \quad t \in G, i \in X. \tag{7}$$

Then the smash product Hopf algebra $T(X,q)\#\Bbbk^G$ satisfies $\forall\, t\in G$, $i\in X$

$$\delta_t x_i = x_i\delta_{g_i t} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(x_i) = x_i\otimes 1 + \sum_{t\in G}\chi_i(t^{-1})\delta_t\otimes x_{t^{-1}\cdot i}. \tag{8}$$

To find all the groups G supporting a principal YD-realization of (X,q) presents hard computational aspects [AG3, Section 3], see *v. g.* Lemma 2.5 (c) below. A possible approach is the following. Let $F(X)$ be the free group generated by $\{g_i\}_{i\in X}$. The *enveloping group G_X of X*, see [EG, J], is

$$G_X = F(X)/\langle g_ig_j - g_{i\triangleright j}g_i : i,j\in X\rangle, \tag{9}$$

For $i\in X$, let $\phi_i : X\to X$ be the application given by $\phi_i(j) = i\triangleright j$, $j\in X$. The group generated by $\{\phi_i\}_{i\in X}$ is denoted $\operatorname{Inn}_\triangleright X$, it is a subgroup of the group of rack automorphism $\operatorname{Aut}_\triangleright X$.

If X is finite and indecomposable, then the order n of ϕ_i does not depend on $i\in X$ and is called the *degree* of the rack, see [HLV, Definition 2.18], also [GHV]. Thus, there is a series of finite versions of G_X, given by

$$G_X^k = G_X/\langle g_i^{kn},\, i\in X\rangle, \quad k\in\mathbb{N}.$$

G_X^1 is denoted by $\overline{G_X}$ and called the finite enveloping group of X in [HLV].

Lemma 2.5. *Let X be a faithful and indecomposable rack and q a 2-cocycle. Let $(\cdot, g, \{\chi_i\}_{i\in X})$ be a principal YD-realization of (X,q) over a finite group G and $K\subset G$ the subgroup generated by $\{g_i,\, i\in X\}$. Then*

(a) *There exists $r\in\mathbb{N}$ such that K is a quotient of G_X^r.*
(b) *K is normal. Then G fits an exact sequence $e\to K\to G\to H\to e$ for some group H.*
(c) [AG3, Lemma 3.3(c)] *G acts by rack automorphisms on X.*
(d) [AG3, Lemma 3.3(d)] *If q is constant, then there exists a multiplicative character $\chi_G : G\to\Bbbk^*$ such that $\chi_i = \chi_G$ for all $i\in G$.*

Proof. (a) Pick $i\in X$ and set $r = \operatorname{ord}(g_i)$. As X is indecomposable, r does not depend on $i\in X$. As X is faithful, the map $g : X\to G$ is injective and thus we have an epimorphism $F(X)\to K$. Since the relations defining G_X^r are satisfied in K, the epimorphism factorizes through G_X^r. (b) is clear. □

2.4. **Affine racks.** Let A be an abelian group and $T\in\operatorname{Aut} A$. An *affine rack* (A,T), see [AG2], is the set A with $a\triangleright b = T(b) + (\mathrm{id} - T)(a)$ $\forall\, a,b\in A$.

Next, we define a principal YD-realization for (A,T) and a constant 2-cocycle. Let C_n be the cyclic group of order $n\in\mathbb{N}$ generated by t. If $\operatorname{ord} T$ divides n, then $A\rtimes_T C_n$ is the semidirect product of A and C_n with respect to T where $t\cdot a = T(a)$ for $a\in A$. Let ξ be a primitive root of 1 and $\ell = [\operatorname{ord} T, \operatorname{ord}(\xi)]$ be the minimum common multiple of $\operatorname{ord} T$ and $\operatorname{ord}\xi$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $0\le k < m\in\mathbb{N}$. Consider the affine rack $X = (A,T)$ and constant 2-cocycle ξ. Let*

- *$g : A\to A\rtimes_T C_{m\ell}$ be the map $a\mapsto g_a = a\times t^{k\ell+1}$;*
- *$\cdot : (A\rtimes_T C_{m\ell})\times A\to A$ be the assignment $h\cdot a = b$, if $\operatorname{ad}(h)g_a = g_b$;*

- $\chi_a : A \rtimes_T C_{m\ell} \to \Bbbk^*$ *be the map* $\chi_a(b \times t^s) = \xi^s$, *for* $a, b \in A$, $s \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then $(g, \cdot, (\chi_a)_{a\in A})$ *is a faithful Yetter-Drinfeld realization of* (X, ξ) *over* $A \rtimes_T C_{m\ell}$. *This is the* (m, k)*-affine realization of* (A, T).

Proof. Clearly, g is injective. We show that this is indeed a faithful realization. If $h = a \times t^s \in A \rtimes_T C_{m\ell}$ and $b \in A$, then $hg_bh^{-1} = ((\mathrm{id} - T)(a) + T^s(b)) \times t^{k\ell+1}$. Thus the action $\cdot$ is well defined since the image of g is a conjugacy class and $g_a \cdot b = a \triangleright b$. Since $\chi_a = \chi_b$ is a group morphism for $a, b \in A$, the family $\{\chi_a\}$ is a 1-cocycle. Also $\chi_a(g_b) = \xi$, for $a, b \in A$. □

2.5. **The affine racks** $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ **and their Nichols algebras.** $\mathbb{F}_b$ denotes the finite field of b elements and the automorphism is the multiplication by $N \in \mathbb{F}_b^*$, denoted by N again. $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ is faithful and indecomposable. Also,

$$\mathrm{Inn}_{\triangleright}(\mathbb{F}_b, N) = \mathbb{F}_b \rtimes_N C_{\mathrm{ord}\, N} = \mathrm{Aut}_{\triangleright}(\mathbb{F}_b, N), \tag{10}$$

the first equality is easy; the second one is by [AG2, Corollary 1.25].

Notice that $((\mathbb{F}_b, N), -1)$ satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.5 (d); recall from there the definition of the multiplicative character $\chi_G : G \to \Bbbk^*$.

Lemma 2.7. *Let* G *be a group and* $X = \{g_i : i \in \mathbb{F}_b\} \subset G$ *be a subrack isomorphic to an affine rack* $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$, $N \neq 1$. *Then*

(a) $g_i^\ell \neq g_j$ *and* $g_ig_j \neq e$ $\forall i \neq j \in X$, $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$.

(b) $g_i^\ell \neq e$ $\forall i \in X$, *if* $\mathrm{ord}\, N \nmid \ell$.

If $(X, -1)$ *admits a principal YD-realization* $(g, \cdot, \chi_G)$ *over* G, *then*

(c) *the product of an even number of* $g_i^{\pm1}$ *is not a product of an odd number of* $g_i^{\pm1}$.

(d) $(\chi_{G|K})^2 = \varepsilon$, *where* $K \subset G$ *is the subgroup generated by* X.

Proof. (a)-(b) Let $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_b$ with $i \neq j$. We show that if an equality holds then $g_i = g_j$. Notice that $g_ig_j = g_{i\triangleright j}g_i$ for all $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_b$. If $g_j = g_i^\ell$, then $g_j = g_i(g_i^\ell)g_i^{-1} = g_ig_jg_i^{-1} = g_{i\triangleright j}$ but $j \neq i \triangleright j$. If $e = g_ig_j = g_i(g_ig_j)g_i^{-1} = g_ig_{i\triangleright j}$, then $g_j = g_{i\triangleright j}$ but $j \neq i \triangleright j$. If $e = g_i^\ell$, then $g_j = g_i^\ell g_j = g_{\phi_i^\ell(j)}g_i^\ell = g_{\phi_i^\ell(j)}$ but $j \neq \phi_i^\ell(j)$. (c) Apply the multiplicative character χ_G. (d) is immediate. □

The list of all known affine racks and 2-cocycles whose Nichols algebras are finite are $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$, $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$, $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$ and $(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$, all with constant 2-cocycle -1 and $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$ with a non-constant cocycle. See [G2], also [HLV].

2.5.1. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ is generated by

$$x_i^2, \quad x_ix_j + x_{2j-i}x_i + x_jx_{2j-i}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3. \tag{11}$$

This Nichols algebra has dimension 12 and was computed in [MS, FK].

2.5.2. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$. Let $\omega \in \mathbb{F}_4$ be such that $\omega^2+\omega+1=0$. $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$ is generated by $z_{(4,\omega,-1)} := (x_\omega x_1 x_0)^2 + (x_1 x_0 x_\omega)^2 + (x_0 x_\omega x_1)^2$ and

$$x_i^2, \quad x_i x_j + x_{(\omega+1)i+\omega j} x_i + x_j x_{(\omega+1)i+\omega j} \quad \forall\, i,j \in \mathbb{F}_4. \tag{12}$$

This Nichols algebra was computed in [G1]; $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega) = 2^3 \times 3^2 = 72$.

2.5.3. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)$ is generated by $z_{(5,2,-1)} := (x_1 x_0)^2 + (x_0 x_1)^2$ and

$$x_i^2, \quad x_i x_j + x_{-i+2j} x_i + x_{3i-2j} x_{-i+2j} + x_j x_{3i-2j} \quad \forall i,j \in \mathbb{F}_5. \tag{13}$$

This Nichols algebra was computed in [AG2]; $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2) = 5 \times 4^4 = 1280$.

2.5.4. *The Nichols algebra* $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_7,3)$. The ideal $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_7,3)$ is generated by $z_{(7,3,-1)} := (x_2 x_1 x_0)^2 + (x_1 x_0 x_2)^2 + (x_0 x_2 x_1)^2$ and

$$x_i^2, \quad x_i x_j + x_{-2i+3j} x_i + x_j x_{-2i+3j} \quad \forall i,j \in \mathbb{F}_7. \tag{14}$$

This Nichols algebra was computed in [G2]; $\dim \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_7,3) = 7 \times 6^6 = 326592$.

2.5.5. *The Nichols algebra of* $(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$ *and non-constant cocycle.* Set $X = (\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$ and let $1 \neq \xi \in \Bbbk$ be such that $\xi^3 = 1$. Then X admits the 2-cocycle

$$\zeta = (\zeta_{ij})_{i,j \in X} = \begin{bmatrix} \xi & \xi & \xi & \xi \\ \xi & \xi & -\xi & -\xi \\ \xi & -\xi & \xi & -\xi \\ \xi & -\xi & -\xi & \xi \end{bmatrix}. \tag{15}$$

The Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(X,\zeta)$, see [HLV, Proposition 7.9], has dimension 5184 and its ideal of relations is generated by x_0^3, x_1^3, x_ω^3, $x_{\omega^2}^3$,

$$\xi^2\, x_0 x_1 + \xi\, x_1 x_\omega - x_\omega x_0, \qquad \xi^2\, x_0 x_\omega + \xi\, x_\omega x_{\omega^2} - x_{\omega^2} x_0,$$
$$\xi^2\, x_1 x_0 - \xi\, x_0 x_{\omega^2} - x_{\omega^2} x_1, \qquad \xi^2\, x_\omega x_1 + \xi\, x_1 x_{\omega^2} + x_{\omega^2} x_\omega,$$

plus an extra degree six relation

$$\begin{aligned} z_{(4,\omega,\zeta)} := {}& x_0^2 x_1 x_\omega x_1^2 + x_0 x_1 x_\omega x_1^2 x_0 + x_1 x_\omega x_1^2 x_0^2 + x_\omega x_1^2 x_0^2 x_1 + x_1^2 x_0^2 x_1 x_\omega \\ &+ x_1 x_0^2 x_1 x_\omega x_1 + x_1 x_\omega x_1 x_0^2 x_\omega + x_\omega x_1 x_0 x_1 x_0 x_\omega + x_\omega x_1^2 x_0 x_\omega x_0. \end{aligned}$$

2.6. **Results on $z_{(b,N,q)}$.** For the rest of the section, (X,q) will be either one of the racks $(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$, $(\mathbb{F}_5,2)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_7,3)$ with constant 2-cocycle $q \equiv -1$ or else the rack $(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$ with non-constant cocycle $q = \zeta$, and $(\cdot, g, \{\chi_i\}_{i \in X})$ will be a principal YD-realization of (X,q) over a finite group G. Let K be the subgroup generated by $\{g_i\}_{i \in X}$ and $z = z_{(b,N,q)}$ be the top degree defining relation in each Nichols algebra in 2.5.

Fix $n = 2$ or $n = 3$ according to whether the cocycle is constant or not. Let $\pi_n : T(X,q) \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X,q)$ be the natural projection.

Lemma 2.8. *The class of z is central in $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X,q)$.*

Proof. We check it using [GAP] together with the package [GBNP]. □

Lemma 2.9. *There is a multiplicative character* $\chi_z : G \to \Bbbk^*$ *such that*

$$t \cdot \pi_n(z) = \chi_z(t)\, \pi_n(z) \quad \forall\, t \in G \ \text{ and } \ \chi_{z|K} = \varepsilon. \tag{16}$$

Moreover, if q *is the constant 2-cocycle* -1*, then* $\chi_z = \chi_G^{\deg z}$.

Proof. The ideal of relations of $\mathfrak{B}(X, q)$ is generated by z and elements of degree two (and possibly three). Then the G-submodule of $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q)$ generated by $\pi_n(z)$ is one-dimensional, let $\chi_z : G \to \Bbbk^*$ be its associated character. By Lemma 2.5 (c), G acts by rack automorphisms on $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$. Let $\overline{t}$ be the automorphism defined by $t \in G$ and $\mathcal{Z}(K)$ be the center of K. By [AG2, Lemma 1.9 (2)] and (10), $K/\mathcal{Z}(K) = \operatorname{Inn}_\triangleright(\mathbb{F}_b, N) = \mathbb{F}_b \rtimes_N C_{\operatorname{ord} N} = \operatorname{Aut}_\triangleright(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$. Thus there is a character $\lambda : \operatorname{Aut}_\triangleright(\mathbb{F}_b, N) \to \Bbbk^*$ such that

$$\chi_z(t)\pi_n(z) = t \cdot \pi_n(z) = \widetilde{\chi}_z(t)\lambda(\overline{t})\, \pi_n(z),$$

where $\widetilde{\chi}_z$ is given by the 1-cocycle $\{\chi_i\}_{i \in X}$. It is easy to check that $\widetilde{\chi}_{z|K} = \varepsilon$. In particular, if q is the constant 2-cocycle -1, then $\widetilde{\chi}_z = \chi_G^{\deg z}$. Therefore, to finish we have to prove that $\lambda = \varepsilon$. Let $M = \langle G \cdot z \rangle \subset T(X, q)$.

Case $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{(\omega\, 1\, 0), (1\, \omega\, \omega^2), (0\, 1\, \omega^2), (0\, \omega^2\, \omega)\} \subset \mathbb{F}_4^3$ and

$$X_{(abc)} := (x_a x_b x_c)^2 + (x_b x_c x_a)^2 + (x_c x_a x_b)^2$$

for $(a\, b\, c) \in \mathcal{O}$. Then $z = X_{(\omega 10)}$ and $M = \langle X_\sigma \rangle_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}}$. Let $Y = \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{O}} X_\sigma$ and $C = \langle X_\sigma - X_\tau : \sigma, \tau \in \mathcal{O} \rangle$. Then $M = C \oplus \Bbbk Y$ is a sum of simple $\mathbb{F}_4 \rtimes_\omega C_3$-submodules. Thus $\pi_2(C) = 0$ and $\pi_2(M) \simeq \Bbbk Y$ as $\mathbb{F}_4 \rtimes_\omega C_3$-modules.

Case $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$. Here $z = (x_1 x_0)^2 + (x_0 x_1)^2$. By (13), it holds that

$$\pi_2((x_0x_2)^2) = \pi_2(-x_0(x_3x_2 + x_1x_3 + x_0x_1)x_2) = \pi_2(-x_0x_1x_3x_2) \quad \text{and}$$

$$\pi_2((x_2x_0)^2) = \pi_2((x_1x_0)^2 + (x_0x_1)^2 + x_0x_1x_3x_2).$$

Hence $\pi_2((x_2x_0)^2 + (x_0x_2)^2) = \pi_2(z)$ and thus $(0 \times t) \cdot \pi_2(z) = \pi_2(z)$. Since $(0 \times t)(1 \times 1) = (2 \times 1)(0 \times t)$ in $\mathbb{F}_5 \rtimes_2 C_4 = \langle (0 \times t), (1 \times 1) \rangle$, $\pi_2(M)$ is the trivial $\mathbb{F}_5 \rtimes_2 C_4$-module.

Cases $(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$ and $((\mathbb{F}_4, \omega), \zeta)$. In both cases, $(0 \times t) \cdot \pi_n(z) = \pi_n(z)$, using [GAP, GBNP]. Then the result follows as above. □

2.7. **The Nichols algebras $\mathfrak{B}(X, q)$ in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$.**

For each (X, q) as above, consider the object $V(X, q) \in {}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ as in (7). We give a set of generators for the ideals that define its Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(X, q)$ (as an object in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$).

Proposition 2.10. (a) *The ideal* $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ *is generated by* (11).

(b) *The ideal* $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$ *is generated by*

$$x_i^2, \quad x_jx_i + x_ix_{(\omega+1)i+\omega j} + x_{(\omega+1)i+\omega j}x_j, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_4 \tag{12'}$$

and $z'_{(4,\omega,-1)} := (x_\omega x_{\omega^2} x_0)^2 + (x_1 x_{\omega^2} x_\omega)^2 + (x_0 x_{\omega^2} x_1)^2$.

(c) *The ideal* $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$ *is generated by*

$$x_i^2, \quad x_jx_i + x_ix_{-i+2j} + x_{-i+2j}x_{3i-2j} + x_{3i-2j}x_j, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_5 \tag{13'}$$

and $z'_{(5,2,-1)} := x_0x_2x_3x_1 + x_1x_4x_3x_0$.

(d) *The ideal* $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$ *is generated by*

$$x_i^2, \quad x_j x_i + x_i x_{-2i+3j} + x_{-2i+3j} x_j, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_7 \tag{14'}$$

and $z'_{(7,3,-1)} := x_2x_6x_4x_2x_5x_0 + x_1x_5x_2x_3x_6x_2 + x_0x_6x_4x_5x_6x_1.$

(e) *The ideal* $\mathcal{J}(X, \zeta)$ *is generated by* x_0^3, x_1^3, x_ω^3, $x_{\omega^2}^3$,

$$\xi\, x_\omega x_1 - x_0 x_\omega - \xi^2 x_1 x_0, \quad \xi\, x_{\omega^2} x_\omega - x_0 x_{\omega^2} - \xi^2 x_\omega x_0,$$

$$\xi\, x_{\omega^2} x_0 - x_1 x_{\omega^2} + \xi^2 x_0 x_1, \quad \xi\, x_{\omega^2} x_1 + x_\omega x_{\omega^2} + \xi^2 x_1 x_\omega \;\; \textit{and}$$

$$z'_{(4,\omega,\zeta)} := x_0^2 x_{\omega^2} x_0 x_1^2 + x_0 x_\omega x_0 x_{\omega^2}^2 x_0 + x_1 x_0 x_\omega^2 x_0^2 + x_\omega x_{\omega^2}^2 x_\omega^2 x_1 - x_1^2 x_\omega^2 x_0 x_\omega$$
$$+ x_1 x_{\omega^2}^2 x_\omega x_{\omega^2} x_1 + x_1 x_0 x_\omega x_{\omega^2}^2 x_\omega - x_\omega x_{\omega^2} x_0 x_1 x_{\omega^2} x_\omega + x_\omega x_{\omega^2}^2 x_\omega x_1 x_0.$$

Proof. Apply the functor (F, η) given by (4) and use Theorem 2.4. □

Lemma 2.11. *Let* $z' = z'_{(b,N,q)}$. *Then* $\pi_n(z')$ *is central in* $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q)$ *and it holds that* $\pi_n(z')_{(-1)} \otimes \pi_n(z')_{(0)} = \chi_z^{-1} \otimes \pi_n(z')$.

Proof. Let $t_z \in G$ be such that $(z)_{(-1)} \otimes (z)_{(0)} = t_z \otimes z$. Then $\overline{t_z} = e \in \mathrm{Inn}_\triangleright(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$. By Lemma 2.8 and Theorem 2.4 (b), $\eta_7^{-1}(x_i z - z x_i) \in \mathcal{J}_n(F(V))$ for all $i \in \mathbb{F}_b$. As $z' = \eta_6^{-1}(z)$, by (3) and Lemma 2.9, $\pi_n \eta_7^{-1}(x_i z - z x_i) = \pi_n(x_{t_z^{-1} \cdot i} z' - z' x_i) = \pi_n(x_i z' - z' x_i)$ i. e. $\pi_n(z')$ is central in $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q)$. The coaction on z' in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ follows from Lemma 2.3 (c) and Lemma 2.9. □

Remarks 2.12. (a) The element $\pi_n(z)$ is primitive in $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q)$ and thus $\Delta(\pi_n(z)) = \pi_n(z) \otimes 1 + t_z \otimes \pi_n(z)$ in $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q) \# \Bbbk G$. The same holds for $\pi_n(z') \in \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(X, q) \# \Bbbk^G$ with χ_z^{-1} instead of t_z, by Lemma 2.11.

(b) Let $H = \Bbbk G$, resp. $\Bbbk^G$. Set $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N) \# H$, $\mathcal{H}' = \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_n}(\mathbb{F}_b, N) \# H$. By (a) and Lemma 2.8, resp. 2.11, [AAMGV, Lemma 6.3] states that the algebra of coinvariants ${}^{\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H}'$ is the polynomial algebra $\Bbbk[z t_z^{-1}]$, resp. $\Bbbk[z' \chi_z]$.

3. LIFTING HOPF ALGEBRAS

This section is based on [AAMGV]. Let H be a finite dimensional semisimple Hopf algebra and A be a Hopf algebra whose coradical is a Hopf subalgebra isomorphic to H. Then [AV1, Proposition 2.4] asserts that A is a lifting of a Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over H if and only if there is a *lifting map* $\phi : T(V) \# H \to A$, that is an epimorphism of Hopf algebras such that

$$\phi_{|H} = \mathrm{id}, \qquad \phi_{|V \# H} \text{ is injective} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \phi((\Bbbk \oplus V) \# H) = A_1, \tag{17}$$

where A_1 is the first term of the coradical filtration; we denote by $p_H : A_1 \to H$ and $p_{V\#H} : A_1 \to V \# H$ the projections given by the isomorphism $\phi_{|(\Bbbk \oplus V)\#H}$. Thus $A_1 \in {}^H_H\mathcal{YD}$ via the adjoint action and coaction $(p_H \otimes \mathrm{id})\Delta$. If $h \in H$ and $x \in V$, then

$$h\phi(x) = \phi(h_{(1)} \cdot x) h_{(2)} \quad \text{and} \quad \Delta(\phi(x)) = \phi(x) \otimes 1 + x_{(-1)} \otimes \phi(x_{(0)}).$$

Therefore $\phi_{|(\Bbbk \oplus V)\#H}$ is an isomorphism of Yetter-Drinfeld modules with A_1.

3.1. **Lifting Hopf algebras over function algebras on finite groups.** Let G be a finite group and $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$, $\dim V < \infty$. If $\{v_i\}$, $\{\alpha_i\}$ are dual bases of V and V^*, set $f_{ji} : \Bbbk G \to \Bbbk$, $h \mapsto \langle \alpha_j, h \cdot v_i\rangle$. By (4), $V \in {}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ via

$$f \cdot v = \langle \mathcal{S}(f), v_{(-1)}\rangle v_{(0)} \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda(v_i) = \sum_j \mathcal{S}^{-1}(f_{ji}) \otimes v_j \tag{18}$$

for all $f \in \Bbbk^G$, $v \in V$. This definition is independent of the basis $\{v_i\}$. We say that $\{e_{ij} := \mathcal{S}^{-1}(f_{ji})\}$ is the *comatrix basis associated to* V and $\{v_i\}$.

In particular, let $\cdot$ be an action of G on a set X and let $\{\chi_i : G \to \Bbbk\}_{i\in X}$ be a 1-cocycle, see page 7. Then $\Bbbk X$ with basis $\{m_i\}_{i\in X}$ is a G-module via

$$g \cdot m_i = \chi_i(g) m_{g\cdot i} \quad \text{for all} \quad i \in X \tag{19}$$

and the comatrix basis $\{c_{ij}\}$ associated to $\Bbbk X$ and $\{m_i\}_{i\in X}$ is

$$c_{i,j} = \sum_{g\in G} \delta_{j,g\cdot i}\, \chi_i(g) \delta_{g^{-1}} \quad \text{for all } i, j \in X.$$

For $g \in G$, let $\mathcal{O}_g$ be the conjugacy class of g, $C_G(g)$ be the centralizer of g and (ρ, W) be an irreducible representation of $C_G(g)$. Then

$${}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD} \ni M(g, \rho) := \operatorname{Ind}^G_{C_G(g)} W = \Bbbk G \otimes_{C_G(g)} W = \mathcal{O}_g \otimes W$$

with the left multiplication in G and the comultiplication restricted to $\mathcal{O}_g$. The $M(g, \rho)$'s are all the simple objects of ${}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$, hence also in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ [AG1].

By (18), $\operatorname{supp} M(g, \rho) = \mathcal{O}_{g^{-1}}$. If $M \in {}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ then $M[e]$ and $M^\times$ are submodules of M such that $M = M[e] \oplus M^\times$ since ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ is semisimple.

Let A be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over $\Bbbk^G$ and $\phi : T(V)\#\Bbbk^G \to A$ be a lifting map. A Yetter-Drinfeld submodule $M \subset T(V)$ is *compatible with* ϕ if

$$\Delta(\phi(m)) = \phi(m) \otimes 1 + m_{(-1)} \otimes \phi(m_{(0)}) \text{ for all } m \in M.$$

The following lemma is a particular case of [AAMGV, Lemma 5.2]. It helps us to describe the image $\phi(M)$. Keep in mind that $\phi(M^\times) \subset \phi(V\#\Bbbk^G)$ and $\phi(M[e]) \subset A_1[e]$. Also, $A_1[e] = \Bbbk^G$ if $e \notin \operatorname{supp} V$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let G, V, A and ϕ be as above. Let $M \subset T(V)$ be compatible with ϕ and $\{c_{ij}\}$ be the comatrix basis associated to $M[e]$ and $\{m_i\}_{i=1}^r$. Then*

(a) *$\phi_{|M^\times} : M^\times \to \phi(V)$ is a morphism in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$.*

(b) *Assume that $M = M^\times$ is a simple object in ${}^{\Bbbk^G}_{\Bbbk^G}\mathcal{YD}$ and $V \simeq M^m \oplus P$ with m maximum. Then there exist $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m \in \Bbbk$ such that*

$$\phi_{|M} = \lambda_1 \operatorname{id}_M \oplus \cdots \oplus \lambda_m \operatorname{id}_M \oplus 0.$$

In particular, $\phi_{|M} = 0$ if $\operatorname{supp} M \cap \operatorname{supp} V = \emptyset$.

(c) *If $e \notin \operatorname{supp} V$, then there exist $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \Bbbk$ such that*

$$\phi(m_i) = a_i - \sum_{j=1}^r a_j c_{ij} \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, r.$$

(d) *If* $e \notin \operatorname{supp} V$ *and* $M = M[e]$ *with the* G*-action on* M *satisfying* (19)*, then there exist* $(a_i)_{i\in X} \in \Bbbk^X$ *such that*

$$\phi(m_i) = \sum_{g\in G} (a_i - \chi_i(g) a_{g\cdot i})\delta_{g^{-1}} \text{ for all } i \in X.$$

(e) *Let* $\Theta : A \to A'$ *be an isomorphism of Hopf algebras and let* $\phi' : T(V)\#\Bbbk^G \to A'$ *be a lifting map. If there is no* $v \in V$ *such that* $h \cdot v = \varepsilon(h)v$ *for all* $h \in \Bbbk^G$*, then* $\Theta\phi(V) = \phi'(V)$*.* □

Next lemma, a particular case of [AAMGV, Lemma 5.3], is useful to describe lifting Hopf algebras as quotients of $T(V)\#\Bbbk^G$. Notice that if M is compatible with ϕ and $m \in M$, then $\phi(m) \in (\Bbbk \oplus V)\#\Bbbk^G$ by (17).

Lemma 3.2. *Let* G*,* V*,* A *and* ϕ *be as above. Let* $M = \bigoplus_{i=s}^t M^i \subset T(V)$ *be a graded Yetter-Drinfeld submodule such that* $\mathcal{J}(V) = \langle M \rangle$*.*

(i) M^s *is compatible with* ϕ *and* $I_s = \langle m - \phi(m)\rangle_{m\in M^s}$ *is a Hopf ideal.*

(ii) *Let* $s \le k \le t$ *be such that* $M^s, \dots, M^k$ *are compatible with* ϕ *and such that* $I_k = \langle m - \phi(m)\rangle_{m\in\bigoplus_{i=s}^k M^i}$ *is a Hopf ideal. Assume that*

$$\Delta(m) - m \otimes 1 - m_{(-1)} \otimes m_{(0)} \in I_k \otimes T(V)\#\Bbbk^G + T(V)\#\Bbbk^G \otimes I_k \tag{20}$$

holds for every $m \in M^{k+1}$*. Then* M^{k+1} *is compatible with* ϕ *and* $I_{k+1} = \langle m - \phi(m)\rangle_{m\in\bigoplus_{i=s}^{k+1} M^i}$ *is a Hopf ideal.* □

In this situation, we give a description of the lifting Hopf algebras as a particular case of [AAMGV, Theorem 5.4].

Theorem 3.3. *Let* G*,* V*,* A*,* ϕ *and* M *be as in Lemma 3.2. Assume* (20) *holds for every* $s+1 \le k \le t$ *and set* $I = I_t$*. Then* $A \simeq T(V)\#\Bbbk^G/I$*.* □

3.2. **Galois objects and liftings.** Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hopf algebra with bijective antipode and let $\mathcal{A}$ be a right $\mathcal{H}$-Galois object. There is an associated Hopf algebra $L(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{A})$ such that $\mathcal{A}$ is a $(L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}), \mathcal{H})$-biGalois object, see [S2, Section 3]. $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{op}}$. Moreover, if L is a Hopf algebra such that $\mathcal{A}$ is $(L, \mathcal{H})$-biGalois then $L \cong L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$. More precisely, if λ, λ_L stand for the coactions of $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$ and L in $\mathcal{A}$, there is a Hopf algebra isomorphism $F : L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}) \to L$ such that $\lambda_L = (F \otimes \mathrm{id})\lambda$ and

$$F\Big(\sum a_i \otimes b_i\Big) \otimes 1_{\mathcal{A}} = \sum \lambda_L(a_i)(1 \otimes b_i), \qquad \sum a_i \otimes b_i \in L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}). \tag{21}$$

Thus, one can use Galois objects to find new examples of Hopf algebras.

In [Gu, Theorems 4 & 8], a recipe is given to construct extensions of a quotient Hopf algebra $\mathcal{H}'$ of $\mathcal{H}$ as quotients of extensions of $\mathcal{H}$. Given $\mathcal{H}'$, if the Galois objects of $\mathcal{H}$ are known, [Gu] proposes to find a chain of quotients $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}^1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \cdots \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^n = \mathcal{H}'$ in such a way that the method could be easily applied on each step.

We want to find the liftings of a Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over a semisimple Hopf algebra H. The idea in [AAMGV] is to calculate the Galois objects of $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{B}(V)\#H$ and construct the corresponding Hopf algebra $L = L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H})$

for each Galois object A. Actually, we restrict to Galois objects $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\operatorname{gr} L \cong \mathcal{H}$. If the extension is cleft, the liftings we find in this way are cocycle deformations of $\mathfrak{B}(V)\#H$ by [S2, Theorem 3.3]. This is the case when H is a group algebra, that is when $\mathcal{H}$ is pointed.

Assume that the ideal $\mathcal{J}(V)$ defining the Nichols algebra is finitely generated. To construct the extensions, we consider an *stratification* $\mathcal{G}_1, \dots, \mathcal{G}_k$ of $\mathcal{J}(V)$ [AAMGV, Subsection 6.2], see below.

3.3. **Lifting Hopf algebras over finite groups.** Let X be an (indecomposable) rack and q a 2-cocycle on X. Let G be a finite group and let $(\cdot, g, \{\chi_i\}_{i\in X})$ be a principal YD-realization of (X, q). Let $V = \Bbbk\{x_i\}_{i\in X}$ be the corresponding Yetter-Drinfeld module over G.

Assume that the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(V) = T(V)/\mathcal{J}(V)$ is finite dimensional. Set $\mathcal{T}(V) = T(V)\#\Bbbk G$, $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$. Recall from [GG] that the space of quadratic relations in $\mathcal{J}(V)$ is spanned by $\{b_C\}_{C\in\mathcal{R}'}$ where $\mathcal{R}'$ is a subset of the set $\mathcal{R} = X \times X/\sim$ of classes of the equivalence relation generated by $(i, j) \sim (i \triangleright j, i)$. More precisely, if $C = \{(i_2, i_1), \dots, (i_{n(C)}, i_1)\}$, then $C \in \mathcal{R}'$ if and only if $\prod_{h=1}^{n(C)} q_{i_{h+1}, i_h} = (-1)^{n(C)}$ and

$$b_C := \sum_{h=1}^{n(C)} \eta_h(C)\, x_{i_{h+1}} x_{i_h}, \tag{22}$$

where $\eta_1(C) = 1$ and $\eta_h(C) = (-1)^{h+1} q_{i_2 i_1} q_{i_3 i_2} \dots q_{i_h i_{h-1}}$, $h \geq 2$.

To compute the liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over G we will make use of the Strategy proposed in [AAMGV, Subsection 6.5]. We briefly describe it in our setting, in which we will assume that the ideal $\mathcal{J}(V)$ admits an stratification:

$$\mathcal{G}_1 = \{x_i^n : i \in X\},\ \mathcal{G}_2 = \{b_C : C \in \mathcal{R}'\} \setminus \{x_i^2 : i \in X\},\ \mathcal{G}_3 = \{z\}, \tag{23}$$

where z is a single relation of order $k > n$ that satisfies

$$\Delta(z) - z \otimes 1 + t_z \otimes z \in \mathcal{J}_n(V)\#\Bbbk G \otimes \mathcal{T}(V) + \mathcal{T}(V) \otimes \mathcal{J}_n(V)\#\Bbbk G, \tag{24}$$

$$x_i z - z x_i \in \mathcal{J}_n(V)\#\Bbbk G, \qquad i \in X, \tag{25}$$

$$t_z z - z t_z \in \mathcal{J}_n(V)\#\Bbbk G, \tag{26}$$

for some $t_z \in G$. Set $\mathcal{H}^0 = \mathcal{T}(V)$, $\mathcal{H}^i = \mathcal{H}^{i-1}/\langle \mathcal{G}_i \rangle$, $1 \leq i \leq 3$. We have the following chain of Hopf algebra quotients:

$$T(V)\#\Bbbk G = \mathcal{H}^0 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^1 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^2 \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{H}^3 = \mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G.$$

We will start from the trivial Galois object $\mathcal{A}^0 = \mathcal{H}^0$ of $\mathcal{H}^0$ to recursively construct Galois objects $\mathcal{A}^i$ of $\mathcal{H}^i$ as quotients of the algebras $\mathcal{A}^{i-1}$, $i \geq 1$. We also obtain Hopf algebras $\mathcal{L}^i = L(\mathcal{A}^i, \mathcal{H}^i)$, $0 \leq i \leq 3$, each one being a quotient of one of the preceding, see [Gu, Lemma 11]. Notice that $\mathcal{L}^0 = \mathcal{H}^0$.

Set $\chi_z : G \to \Bbbk^*$ as in Lemma 2.9. We will also assume that

$$g_i^n \neq g_j \quad \text{and} \quad g_k \neq g_i g_j, \qquad \text{for every } i, j, k \in X, \tag{27}$$

$$t_z \neq g_i, \qquad \text{for every } i \in X. \tag{28}$$

Second condition in (27) is mandatory when $q \equiv \zeta \in \Bbbk^* \setminus \{1\}$; if $g_k = g_i g_j$, then $\zeta = q(g_k, g_l) = \chi_l(g_k) = \chi_l(g_i g_j) = \chi_l(g_j)\chi_{j \triangleright l}(g_i) = \zeta^2$. If $\zeta^n = 1$, then a similar argument shows that the first equation in (27) also holds.

Finally, we fix scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3 \in \Bbbk$ subject to the following conditions

$$\lambda_1 = 0 \text{ if } \chi_i^n \neq \varepsilon \,\forall\, i, \quad \lambda_2 = 0 \text{ if } \chi_i \chi_j \neq \varepsilon \,\forall\, i, j, \quad \lambda_3 = 0 \text{ if } \chi_z \neq \varepsilon. \tag{29}$$

Remarks 3.4. (1) We deal with algebras presented as quotients of $\mathcal{T}(V)$. We fix the following notation. When there is no place to confusion, we will still denote the generators of $\mathcal{H}^n$ and $\mathcal{L}^n$ by x_i, $i \in X$, and t, $t \in G$. As for the algebras $\mathcal{A}^n$ we will keep the notation t, $t \in G$ for the images of the elements in G and denote by y_i, $i \in X$, the images of the elements in the basis of V.

(2) As for the coactions, we will denote by $\rho = \rho^n : \mathcal{A}^n \to \mathcal{A}^n \otimes \mathcal{H}^n$ the right coaction and by $\lambda = \lambda^n : \mathcal{A}^n \to \mathcal{L}^n \otimes \mathcal{A}^n$ the left coaction, for $0 \leq n \leq 3$. These will be induced by the comultiplication in $\mathcal{T}(V)$:

$$\rho(y_i) = y_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes x_i, \qquad \rho(t) = t \otimes t, \qquad i \in X, t \in G; \tag{30}$$

$$\lambda(y_i) = x_i \otimes 1 + g_i \otimes y_i, \qquad \lambda(t) = t \otimes t, \qquad i \in X, t \in G. \tag{31}$$

(3) We fix for each n a section $\gamma = \gamma^n : \mathcal{H}^n \to \mathcal{A}^n$ with $\gamma_{|\Bbbk G} \in \operatorname{Alg}(\Bbbk G, A)$, see [AAMGV, Lemma 5.8]. We further identify $G = \gamma(G) \subset \mathcal{A}^n$.

Let $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Bbbk$ subject to (29) and let b_C be as in (22). Set

$$\mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1) = \mathcal{T}(V)/\langle y_i^n - \lambda_1 : i \in X \rangle, \tag{32}$$

$$\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1)/\langle b_C - \lambda_2 \,:\, C \in \mathcal{R}', \, (i,j) \in C \rangle. \tag{33}$$

The following Lemma, an application of [AAMGV, Lemma 6.5], establishes that $\mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1) \neq 0$ in many cases of interest. As for $\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, we use [GAP, GBNP] to show that in the examples these algebras are nonzero.

Lemma 3.5. *If $q \equiv -1$, then $\mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1) \neq 0$ for any λ_1 as in* (29).

Proof. Let $\chi : G \to \Bbbk^*$ be the character induced by the 1-cocycle $(\chi_i)_{i \in X}$, see Lemma 2.5. Let $\overline{G} = G/N$, where N is the (normal) subgroup generated by $g_i g_j^{-1}$, $i, j \in X$. Notice that $\chi_{|N} = \varepsilon$ and thus $\overline{G}$ acts on the free algebra $\Bbbk\langle x \rangle$ via χ. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1}$ be the quotient of $\Bbbk\langle x \rangle \# \Bbbk\overline{G}$ by $\langle x - \lambda_1 \rangle$. There is a projection of algebras $\mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1) \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1}$ sending $t \mapsto \overline{t} \in \overline{G}$, $t \in G$, and $y_i \mapsto x$, $i \in X$. Finally, the algebras $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda_1}$ are nonzero by [AAMGV, Lemma 6.5]. $\square$

Set also $\mathcal{L}^1(\lambda_1) = \mathcal{T}(V)/\langle x_i^n - \lambda_1(1 - g_i^n) : i \in X \rangle$ and

$$\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda_1)/\langle b_C - \lambda_2(1 - g_i g_j) : C \in \mathcal{R}', \, (i,j) \in C \rangle.$$

It is straightforward to see that these are Hopf algebra quotients of $\mathcal{T}(V)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let $\mathcal{A}^1 = \mathcal{A}^1(\lambda_1)$, $\mathcal{A}^2 = \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\mathcal{L}^1 = \mathcal{L}^1(\lambda_1)$ and $\mathcal{L}^2 = \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.*

(a) *Assume $\mathcal{A}^n \neq 0$. Then $\mathcal{A}^n$ is a right Galois object of $\mathcal{H}^n$, $n = 1, 2$.*

(b) $L(\mathcal{A}^n, \mathcal{H}^n) \cong \mathcal{L}^n$, $n = 1, 2$.

Proof. (a) follows by [Gu, Theorem 8] applied to a suitable right coideal subalgebra X^n. If $n = 1$, we take X^n to be generated by $x_i g_i^{-1}$ for some $i \in X$. If $n = 2$, this is done in several steps, one for each $C \in \mathcal{R}'$, up to conjugacy, taking X^n_C as the subalgebra generated by $b_C g_C^{-1}$.

(b) It is a straightforward calculation to see that $\mathcal{A}^n$ is a $(\mathcal{L}^n, \mathcal{H}^n)$-biGalois object, with coactions (30) and (31). See [GIM, Theorem 7.5] for $n = 2$. $\square$

We can now compute Galois objects for $\mathcal{H}$.

Proposition 3.7. *Assume that $\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq 0$ for some λ_1, λ_2. Consider $\gamma : \mathcal{H}^2 \to \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ a section as in Remark 3.4 (3).*

(a) *There exists $a_X \in \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\lambda_3 \in \Bbbk$ subject to* (29) *such that*
$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)/\langle z - a_X - \lambda_3\rangle.$$
is a Galois object of $\mathcal{H}$.

(b) *Let $\lambda : \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \to \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \otimes \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be the coaction induced by* (31). *Then $L(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{H}) \cong \mathcal{L}^3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ where*
$$\mathcal{L}^3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)/\langle z - s_X - \lambda_3(1 - t_z)\rangle$$
and $s_X \in \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is such that
$$(z - s_X) \otimes 1 = \lambda(\gamma(z)) - t_z \otimes \gamma(z). \tag{34}$$

Proof. Set $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}^2$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$. To find the Galois objects of $\mathcal{H}$, we use [Gu, Theorem 4]. We need to describe $X = {}^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{H}}\mathcal{H}'$ and the morphisms in $\mathrm{Alg}^{\mathcal{H}'}_{\mathcal{H}'}(X, \mathcal{A}')$. By (25) and (26) the subalgebra of $\mathcal{H}'$ generated by z is normal, thus Remark 2.12 (b) applies and we get $X \cong \Bbbk[z t_z^{-1}]$. On the other hand, [AAMGV, Proposition 6.4] states that
$$f \in \mathrm{Alg}^{\mathcal{H}'}_{\mathcal{H}'}(X, \mathcal{A}') \iff f(z t_z^{-1}) = \gamma(z t_z^{-1}) - \lambda_3 t_z^{-1}$$
for $\lambda_3 \in \Bbbk$ and (a) follows for $a_X = z - \gamma(z)$. Now, by [AAMGV, Proposition 6.7 (i)] $(\gamma \otimes \gamma^{-1})\Delta(z) = \gamma(z) \otimes 1 - t_z \otimes t_z^{-1}\gamma(z)$ is $(1, t_z)$-primitive in $L(\mathcal{A}', \mathcal{H}')$ and then (b) follows by [AAMGV, Proposition 6.7 (ii)], applying (21). $\square$

Lemma 3.8. *Let L be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over G. Then there exist $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Bbbk$ such that L is a quotient of $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.*

Proof. If $r \in \mathcal{G}_0 \cup \mathcal{G}_1$, then r is skew-primitive in $\mathcal{T}(V)$, thus it lies in L_1. By (27) it lies in L_0; hence L is a quotient of $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ for some $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Bbbk$. $\square$

Theorem 3.9. *Let $\mathfrak{B}(V)$, G be as above, $\mathcal{H} = \mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$. Let L be a Hopf algebra such that $\operatorname{gr} L \cong \mathcal{H}$. Assume that* (27) *and* (28) *hold and that $\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq 0$ for (λ_1, λ_2) as in Lemma 3.8. Then there exists $\lambda_3 \in \Bbbk$ such that $L \cong \mathcal{L}^3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$. Hence L is a cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. Consider the lifting map $\phi : \mathcal{T}(V) \to L$ defined by (17). By Lemma 3.8 above, ϕ factorizes through $\phi' : \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \twoheadrightarrow L$. It follows that $\overline{z} = z - s_X$ is $(1, t_z)$-primitive in $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and thus $\phi'(\overline{z}) \in L_1$. By (28) we see that $\phi'(\overline{z}) \in L_0 = \Bbbk G$ and therefore there is $\lambda_3 \in \Bbbk$ such that $\overline{z} = \lambda_3(1 - t_z)$.

Therefore ϕ further factorizes through $\varphi : \mathcal{L}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \twoheadrightarrow L$ and this is an isomorphism since both algebras have dimension $\dim \mathfrak{B}(V)|G|$. $\square$

To avoid repetitions, we further normalize the scalars $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ by

$$\lambda_1 = 0 \text{ if } g_i^n = 1, \qquad \lambda_2 = 0 \text{ if } g_i g_j = 1, \qquad \lambda_3 = 0 \text{ if } t_z = 1, \tag{35}$$

and consider the set

$$\mathcal{S}_X = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \Bbbk^3 | \text{ satisfying (29) and (35)}\}. \tag{36}$$

Proposition 3.10. *If* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3), (\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3') \in \mathcal{S}_X$ *then* $\mathcal{L}^3(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \cong \mathcal{L}^3(\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3')$ *if and only if* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) = (\lambda_1', \lambda_2', \lambda_3')$ *in* $\mathbb{P}^2 \cup \{(0, 0, 0)\}$.

Proof. Follows as [GG, Lemma 6.1]. $\square$

The results above restrict to the case in which there is no relation z as in (23) that is when $\mathcal{J}(V)$ admits an stratification $\mathcal{J}(V) = \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{G}_2$. We collect this information in the following Corollary. In this case we also denote

$$\mathcal{S}_X = \{(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \Bbbk^2 | \text{ satisfying (29) and (35)}\}. \tag{36'}$$

Corollary 3.11. *Let* $\mathcal{J}(V)$ *be as above. Let* L *be a lifting of* $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ *over* G.

(a) *There exist* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S}_X$ *such that* $L \cong \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.
(b) *If* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), (\lambda_1', \lambda_2') \in \mathcal{S}_X$ *then* $\mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cong \mathcal{L}^2(\lambda_1', \lambda_2')$ *if and only if* $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1', \lambda_2')$ *in* $\mathbb{P}^1 \cup \{(0, 0)\}$.
(c) *If* $\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq 0$ *then* L *is a cocycle deformation of* $\mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$. $\square$

4. COPOINTED HOPF ALGEBRAS OVER AFFINE RACKS

Through this section, $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ is an affine rack as in Subsection 2.5, G is a finite group and $(\cdot, g, \chi_G)$ is a principal YD-realization of $((\mathbb{F}_b, N), -1)$ over G where $\chi_G : G \to \Bbbk^*$ is a multiplicative character, cf. Lemma 2.5 (d). $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ is the Nichols algebra of the Yetter-Drinfeld module $V(\mathbb{F}_b, N) = \Bbbk\{x_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{F}_b}$ over $\Bbbk^G$, recall (7). We give the classification of the lifting Hopf algebras of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ over $\Bbbk^G$ and therefore the proof of Main Theorem **2**.

4.1. Copointed Hopf algebras over $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$.

Recall that $\mathrm{Inn}_{\triangleright}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2) = \mathbb{S}_3 = \mathrm{Aut}_{\triangleright}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ by (10) and that G acts by rack automorphisms on $V(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ by Lemma 2.5 (c). This defines a group epimorphism $G \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_3$, $t \mapsto \overline{t}$.

We consider the group $\Gamma_{(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)} = \Bbbk^* \times \mathbb{S}_3$ acting on

$$\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)} = \left\{\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, a_2) \in \Bbbk^{\mathbb{F}_3} : a_0 + a_1 + a_2 = 0\right\}$$

via $(\mu, \theta) \triangleright \mathbf{a} = \mu(a_{\theta 0}, a_{\theta 1}, a_{\theta 2})$. The equivalence class of $\mathbf{a}$ under this action is denoted by $[\mathbf{a}]$. Given $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)}$, we define

$$f_i = \sum_{t \in G} (a_i - a_{t^{-1} \cdot i})\, \delta_t \in \Bbbk^G, \quad i \in \mathbb{F}_3.$$

The next definition, lemma and theorem are inspired by [AV1, AV2], where the case $G = \mathbb{S}_3$ was considered.

Definition 4.1. Set $\mathcal{A}_{G,[0]} = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk^G$. Let $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$ and assume that $g_i^2 = e \ \forall i \in \mathbb{F}_3$, we define the Hopf algebra $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]} = T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk^G/\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}$ where $\mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is the ideal generated by

$$x_i^2 - f_i, \quad x_ix_j + x_{-i+2j}x_i + x_jx_{-i+2j}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3,$$

and the algebra $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]} = T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk^G/\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}}$ where $\mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}}$ is generated by

$$x_i^2 + f_i - a_i, \quad x_ix_j + x_{-i+2j}x_i + x_jx_{-i+2j}, \quad i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3.$$

The algebras $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ are nonzero by the next lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $M = \Bbbk\{m_t\}_{t\in G}$ be the $\Bbbk^G$-module with $m_t \in M[t]$. Then for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$, M is an $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$-module and a $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$-module via*

$$x_i \cdot m_t = \begin{cases} m_{g_i^{-1}t} & \textit{if } \operatorname{sgn} \overline{t} = -1, \\ \lambda_{i,t}\, m_{g_i^{-1}t} & \textit{if } \operatorname{sgn} \overline{t} = 1. \end{cases}$$

where $\lambda_{i,t} = (a_i - a_{t^{-1}\cdot i})$ for $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ and $\lambda_{i,t} = -a_{t^{-1}\cdot i}$ for $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$.

Proof. We check that the action of $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ is well-defined; for $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ it is similar. Notice that $\operatorname{sgn}(\overline{g_i}) = -1$. We start by $\delta_h x_i = x_i\delta_{g_ih}$, cf. (8):

$$\delta_h(x_i \cdot m_t) = \delta_h(\lambda\, m_{g_i^{-1}t}) = \lambda\delta_{g_ih}(t) m_{g_i^{-1}t} = x_i \cdot (\delta_{g_ih} \cdot m_t)$$

for a certain $\lambda \in \Bbbk$. Clearly $x_i \cdot (x_i \cdot m_t) = \lambda_{i,t}\, m_t$. Since $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$ $(x_ix_j + x_{-i+2j}x_i + x_jx_{-i+2j}) \cdot m_t = -(a_0 + a_1 + a_2)\, m_{g_i^{-1}g_j^{-1}t} = 0.$ □

We give the classification of the lifting Hopf algebras of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over $\Bbbk^G$.

Theorem 4.3. *Let H be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over $\Bbbk^G$.*

(a) *If $g_i^2 \neq e$ for some (and thus all) $i \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,[0]}$.*

(b) *If $g_i^2 = e$ for some (and thus all) $i \in \mathbb{F}_3$, then there is $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$ such that $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$.*

(c) *$\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ is a $(\mathcal{A}_{G,[0]}, \mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]})$-biGalois object for all $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$.*

(d) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ is a cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{b}]}$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$.*

(e) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ is a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over $\Bbbk^G$ for all $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$.*

(f) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{b}]}$ if and only if $[\mathbf{a}] = [\mathbf{b}]$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk^G \to H$ be a lifting map and $M \subset T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ be the Yetter-Drinfeld submodule generated by the quadratic relations defining the Nichols algebra $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$, see Proposition 2.10. Then $\phi(M[g_i^{-1}g_j^{-1}]) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1 (b) using Lemma 2.7 (a) and (c). Hence:

(a) follows from Lemma 3.1 (b) and Theorem 3.3 using Lemma 2.7 (a).

(b) follows from Lemma 3.1 (d) and Theorem 3.3.

(c) follows from [Ma1, Theorem 2]. In fact, fix $\mathbf{a} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,\,2)}$ and let K be the braided Hopf subalgebra of $T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ generated by the primitive elements

$$W = \{x_i^2,\, x_ix_j + x_{-i+2j}x_i + x_jx_{-i+2j} : i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3\}.$$

Then $K\#\Bbbk^G$ is a Hopf subalgebra of $T(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk^G$. By [AV2, Lemma 28], we can define an algebra map $\psi = \psi_K \otimes \epsilon : K\#\Bbbk^G \to \Bbbk$ where

$$\psi_K(x_i^2) = -a_i \text{ and } \psi_K(x_i x_j + x_{-i+2j} x_i + x_j x_{-i+2j}) = 0 \quad \forall i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3.$$

If $J = \langle W \rangle \subset K\#\Bbbk^G$, then $\psi^{-1} \rightharpoonup J \leftharpoonup \psi = \mathcal{J}_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\psi^{-1} \rightharpoonup J = \mathcal{I}_{\mathbf{a}}$. By Lemma 4.2, $\mathcal{K}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]} \neq 0$ and [Ma1, Theorem 2] asserts (c); hence (d) and (e).

(f) Fix $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b} \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)}$. Let $\phi_{\mathbf{a}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{b}}$ be the lifting maps of $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{b}]}$. Let $\Theta : \mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{a}]} \to \mathcal{A}_{G,[\mathbf{b}]}$ be an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. Then $(\Theta_{|\Bbbk^G})^*$ induces a group automorphism θ of G. By Lemma 3.1 (e) and using the adjoint action of $\Bbbk^G$, we see that θ is a rack automorphism of $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ and $\Theta\phi_{\mathbf{a}}(x_i) = \mu_i \phi_{\mathbf{b}}(x_{\theta i})$ with $\mu_i \in \Bbbk^*$ for all $i \in \mathbb{F}_3$. Since Θ is a coalgebra map, using (8) we obtain that $\mu_i = \mu$ for all $i \in \mathbb{F}_3$. Therefore $\mathbf{a} = (\mu^2, \theta) \triangleright \mathbf{b}$. The proof of the converse statement is easy, recall that $\operatorname{Inn}_{\triangleright}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2) = \mathbb{S}_3$. ☐

4.2. **Copointed Hopf algebras over** $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$**.** $(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ is $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$, $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_7, 3)$; $\pi_2 : T(\mathbb{F}_b, N) \twoheadrightarrow \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_2}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ is the projection; $\mathcal{A}_{G,0} = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G$.

Definition 4.4. Let $\lambda \in \Bbbk$ and assume that $z' = z'_{(b,N,-1)} \in T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)[e]$ and $\chi_z = \chi_G^{\deg z} \neq \varepsilon$, recall (16). We define the Hopf algebra

$$\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda} = \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_2}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G / \langle \pi_2(z') - \lambda(1 - \chi_z^{-1}) \rangle$$

and the algebra $\mathcal{K}_{G,\lambda} = \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_2}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G / \langle \pi_2(z') - \lambda \rangle$.

Next we give the classification of the liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ over $\Bbbk^G$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let H be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ over $\Bbbk^G$ and set $z' = z'_{(b,N,-1)}$.*

(a) *If G is generated by $\operatorname{supp} V(\mathbb{F}_b, N) = \{g_i^{-1} : i \in \mathbb{F}_b\}$, then $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,0}$.*
(b) *If $z' \in T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)^\times$, $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,0}$.*
(c) *If $z' \in T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)[e]$, then $H \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \Bbbk$.*
(d) *$\mathcal{K}_{G,\lambda}$ is a $(\mathcal{A}_{G,0}, \mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda})$-biGalois object for all $\lambda \in \Bbbk$.*
(e) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda}$ is a cocycle deformation of $\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda'}$, for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Bbbk$.*
(f) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda}$ is a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ over $\Bbbk^G$ for all $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Bbbk$.*
(g) *$\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,1} \not\simeq \mathcal{A}_{G,0}$ for all $\lambda \in \Bbbk$.*

Proof. Let $\phi : T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G \to H$ be a lifting map and $M \subset T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ be the Yetter-Drinfeld submodule generated by the quadratic relations defining $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$; see Proposition 2.10. Then

$$M = M^\times = \bigoplus_{i,j \in \mathbb{F}_b} M[(g_i g_j)^{-1}] \oplus \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{F}_b} M[g_i^{-2}]$$

by Lemma 2.7. Moreover, $\phi(M^\times) = 0$ by Lemma 3.1 (b) using Lemma 2.7. Therefore ϕ factorizes through $\widehat{\mathfrak{B}_2}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G$ and the Yetter-Drinfeld module $M_{z'}$ generated by z' is compatible with ϕ. Therefore:

(c) follows from Lemma 3.1 (d) and Theorem 3.3 since $\Delta(\pi_2(z')) = \pi_2(z') \otimes \varepsilon + \chi_z^{-1} \otimes \pi_2(z')$ by Lemma 2.9. (a) follows from (c) since $\chi_z =$

$\chi_G^{\deg z} = \varepsilon$ by Lemma 2.9. (b) follows from Lemma 3.1 (b) and Theorem 3.3 since $1 = \dim \pi_2(M_{z'}) < \dim V(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$, the equality holds by Lemma 2.9.

(d) Let $\mathcal{H} = \widehat{\mathfrak{B}_2}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G$ and $\mathcal{H}' = \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)\#\Bbbk^G$. By [AAMGV, Corollary 4.10], the projection $\pi : \mathcal{H} \to \mathcal{H}'$ is right and left coflat. Let $w = \pi_2(z')\chi_z$, then ${}^{\operatorname{co}\mathcal{H}'}\mathcal{H} = \Bbbk[w]$ by Remarks 2.12. Then we can apply [Gu, Theorem 4] to the Yetter-Drinfeld algebra map $\Bbbk[w] \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$, $w \mapsto w - \lambda\chi_z$. Hence $\mathcal{K}_{G,\lambda}$ is a $(\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda}, \mathcal{A}_{G,0})$-biGalois object. (e) and (f) are a consequence of (d). For (g), $F : \mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{G,1}$ given by $F(x_i) = \lambda^{1/\deg z} x_i$ and $F_{|\Bbbk^G} = \mathrm{id}_{|\Bbbk^G}$ is an isomorphism of Hopf algebras. $\square$

Example 4.6. There are nontrivial liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{G,\lambda}$. In fact, suppose that $m \mid \ell k + 1$ and consider the (m, k)-affine realization of $((\mathbb{F}_b, N), -1)$; note that $z' \in T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)[e]$. Let G' be a finite group with a multiplicative character $\chi_{G'} : G' \to \Bbbk^*$ such that $\chi_{G'}^{\deg z} \neq \varepsilon$. Then $G = (\mathbb{F}_b \rtimes C_{m\ell}) \times G'$ acts on $V(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ via $(h \times g') \cdot x_i = \chi_{G'}(g') h \cdot x_i$ and thus the (m, k)-affine realization induces a principal YD-realization of $((\mathbb{F}_b, N), -1)$ over G such that $z' \in T(\mathbb{F}_b, N)[e]$ and $\chi_z = \chi_G^{\deg z} \neq \varepsilon$.

5. Pointed Hopf algebras over affine racks

In this section, we prove Main Theorem **1**. We stick to the notation in Subsection 3.3. Let $X = (\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ be $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$, $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$ or $(\mathbb{F}_5, 2)$, let $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ be the Nichols algebra associated to X and cocycle -1, see Subsection 2.5. We compute the liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_b, N)$ over any finite group G supporting a realization $V \in {}^{\Bbbk G}_{\Bbbk G}\mathcal{YD}$ of $(X, -1)$, *e. g.* an affine realization. The hypothesis of Subsection 3.3 hold for these racks. Namely, we have that:

(1) $\mathcal{J}(V)$ admits an stratification as in (23). The top degree relation z satisfies (24). z also satisfies (25) and (26), see Lemmas 2.8, 2.9.
(2) Second equation in (27) holds, see Lemma 2.7.

On the other hand, we will use [GAP] in each case to see that:

(3) The algebras in (33) are non zero.

Therefore, we can apply the Strategy in [AAMGV] described in 3.3 to calculate families of liftings using Proposition 3.7. Finally, we also have that

(4) Equation (28) holds, see Lemma 2.7.

Thus, we are able to compute in this way all the liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(V)$ over G using Theorem 3.9. Also, we present families of Galois objects of $\mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G$ which show that these liftings are cocycle deformation of this graded algebra.

Recall the definition of the set $\mathcal{S}_X$ in (36) or (36'). Notice that if G is generated by the rack X, that is to say by the elements $g_i \in G$, $i \in X$, then two last conditions in (29), and all of (35) are superfluous, see Lemma 2.7.

5.1. **Pointed Hopf algebras over** $(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$**.** The liftings of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over G have been studied in [AG3]. Our Strategy allow us to show that they are cocycle deformations of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk G$. Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,2)}$. Let $A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$y_0^2 - \lambda_1 \quad \text{and} \quad y_0y_1 + y_1y_2 + y_2y_0 - \lambda_2.$$

Let $H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$x_0^2 - \lambda_1(1 - g_0^2) \quad \text{and} \quad x_0x_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_0 - \lambda_2(1 - g_0g_1).$$

Theorem 5.1. *Let H be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over G.*

(a) *There exist scalars $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,2)}$ such that $H \cong H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$.*
(b) *$A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is a $(H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2), \mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object for every $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,2)}$.*
(c) *H is a cocycle deformation of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)\#\Bbbk G$.*
(d) *$H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ is a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ over G for every $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,2)}$.*
(e) *If $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1', \lambda_2') \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_3,2)}$ then $H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \cong H(\lambda_1', \lambda_2')$ if and only if $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = (\lambda_1', \lambda_2')$ in $\mathbb{P}^1 \cup \{(0, 0)\}$.*

Items (a), (e) and (d) are already in [AG3, Theorem 3.8], by other means.

Proof. Follows by Corollary 3.11. We consider the stratification of $\mathcal{J}(\mathbb{F}_3, 2)$ given by $\mathcal{G}_1 = \{x_i^2 : i \in \mathbb{F}_3\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_2 = \{x_ix_j + x_{-i+2j}x_i + x_jx_{-i+2j} : i, j \in \mathbb{F}_3\}$ and then we use [GAP, GBNP] to see that $A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) \neq 0$. $\square$

Remark 5.2. Theorem 5.1 includes the case $G(H) \cong \mathbb{S}_3$; when $g_0^2 = 1$ and G is generated by X. The classification of all H with $G(H) \cong \mathbb{S}_3$ is in [AHS, AG3]. Item (c) in this case is in [GIM].

5.2. **Pointed Hopf algebras over** $(\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$**.** Let $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)}$. Let $A(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$y_0^2 - \lambda_1, \qquad y_0y_1 + y_1y_2 + y_2y_0 - \lambda_2 \quad \text{and}$$
$$(y_0y_1y_2)^2 + (y_1y_2y_0)^2 + (y_2y_0y_1)^2 - a_X - \lambda_3$$

for $a_X = \lambda_2(y_1y_0y_2y_1 + y_0y_2y_1y_0 + y_2y_1y_0y_2) - \lambda_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)(y_2y_1 + y_1y_0 + y_0y_2)$.

Let $H(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$x_0^2 - \lambda_1(1 - g_0^2), \qquad x_0x_1 + x_1x_2 + x_2x_0 - \lambda_2(1 - g_0g_1) \quad \text{and}$$
$$x_2x_1x_0x_2x_1x_0 + x_1x_0x_2x_1x_0x_2 + x_0x_2x_1x_0x_2x_1 - s_X - \lambda_3(1 - g_0^3g_1^3),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
s_X = {}& \lambda_2(x_2x_1x_0x_2 + x_1x_0x_2x_1 + x_0x_2x_1x_0) - \lambda_2^3(g_0g_1 - g_0^3g_1^3) \\
& + \lambda_1^2g_0^2\big(g_3^2(x_2x_3 + x_0x_2) + g_1g_3(x_2x_1 + x_1x_3) + g_1^2(x_1x_0 + x_0x_3)\big) \\
& - 2\lambda_1^2g_0^2(x_0x_3 - x_2x_3 - x_1x_2 + x_1x_0) - 2\lambda_1^2g_2^2(x_2x_3 - x_1x_3 + x_0x_2 - x_0x_1) \\
& - 2\lambda_1^2g_1^2(x_2x_1 + x_1x_3 + x_1x_2 - x_0x_3 + x_0x_1) \\
& + \lambda_2\lambda_1(g_2^2x_0x_3 + g_1^2x_2x_3 + g_0^2x_1x_3) + \lambda_2^2g_0g_1(x_2x_1 + x_1x_0 + x_0x_2 - \lambda_1) \\
& - \lambda_2\lambda_1^2(3g_0^3g_3 - 2g_0g_1^3 - g_0^2g_2^2 - 2g_0^3g_1 + g_2^2 - g_1^2 + g_0^2) \\
& - \lambda_2(\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)\big(\lambda_1\, g_0^2(g_3^2 + g_1g_3 + g_1^2 + 2g_0g_1^3) + x_2x_1 + x_1x_0 + x_0x_2\big).
\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 5.3. *Let H be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)$ over G.*

(a) *There exists $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)}$ such that $H\cong H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$.*
(b) *$A(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is a $(H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3),\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object for every $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)}$.*
(c) *H is a cocycle deformation of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)\#\Bbbk G$.*
(d) *$H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)\#\Bbbk G$ for all $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_4,\omega)}$.*
(e) *$H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\cong H(\lambda_1',\lambda_2',\lambda_3')$ if and only if $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)=(\lambda_1',\lambda_2',\lambda_3')$ in $\mathbb{P}^2\cup\{(0,0,0)\}$.*

Proof. The algebras $H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ are found following the strategy described in Subsection 3.3. We check that the algebras $\mathcal{A}'=\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$ are nonzero using [GAP, GBNP]. We compute $\gamma(z)$, for $\gamma:\mathcal{H}^2\to\mathcal{A}'$ as in Remark 3.4 (3), again using [GAP, GBNP], as explained in the Appendix. We end up with the liftings $H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ using Proposition 3.7, which states (b), (d) consequently (c) and (e). (a) now follows from Theorem 3.9. $\square$

5.3. **Pointed Hopf algebras over** $(\mathbb{F}_5,2)$**.** Let $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_5,2)}$. Let $A(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$y_0^2-\lambda_1,\quad y_0y_1+y_2y_0+y_3y_2+y_1y_3-\lambda_2,\quad (y_0y_1)^2+(y_1y_0)^2-a_X-\lambda_3,$$

where $a_X=\lambda_2\,(x_1x_0+x_0x_1)$.

Let $H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ be the quotient of $T(V)\#\Bbbk G$ by the ideal generated by

$$x_0^2-\lambda_1(1-g_0^2),\qquad x_0x_1+x_2x_0+x_3x_2+x_1x_3-\lambda_2(1-g_0g_1)\text{ and}$$
$$x_1x_0x_1x_0+x_0x_1x_0x_1-s_X-\lambda_3(1-g_0^2g_1g_2),$$

for $s_X=\lambda_2\,(x_1x_0+x_0x_1)+\lambda_1\,g_1^2(x_3x_0+x_2x_3)-\lambda_1\,g_0^2(x_2x_4+x_1x_2)+\lambda_2\lambda_1\,g_0^2(1-g_1g_2)$.

Theorem 5.4. *Let H be a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)$ over G.*

(a) *There exists $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_5,2)}$ such that $H\cong H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$.*
(b) *$A(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is a $(H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3),\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)\#\Bbbk G)$-biGalois object for every $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_5,2)}$.*
(c) *H is a cocycle deformation of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)\#\Bbbk G$.*
(d) *$H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)$ is a lifting of $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{F}_5,2)\#\Bbbk G$ for all $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\in\mathcal{S}_{(\mathbb{F}_5,2)}$.*
(e) *$H(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)\cong H(\lambda_1',\lambda_2',\lambda_3')$ if and only if $(\lambda_1,\lambda_2,\lambda_3)=(\lambda_1',\lambda_2',\lambda_3')$ in $\mathbb{P}^2\cup\{(0,0,0)\}$.*

Proof. Set $z=(y_0y_1)^2+(y_1y_0)^2\in\mathcal{A}'=\mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1,\lambda_2)$, $t_z=g_0^2g_1g_2\in G$. Using [GAP, GBNP], the coaction of z in $\mathcal{A}'$ is $\rho(z)=z\otimes 1+t_z\otimes z$ plus:

$$\lambda_2\,h_0h_3\otimes x_1x_0+\lambda_2\,h_0h_1\otimes x_0x_1+-\lambda_2\,h_1y_3\otimes x_1$$
$$+\lambda_2\,h_1y_0\otimes x_1+-\lambda_2\,h_0y_3\otimes x_0+\lambda_2\,h_0y_1\otimes x_0.$$

If $z'=x_1x_0+x_0x_1$ we get $\rho(z-\lambda_2\,z')=(z-\lambda_2\,z')\otimes 1+t_z\otimes z$. Thus $\gamma(z)=z-\lambda_2\,z'$ and the theorem follows as Theorem 5.3. $\square$

Appendix: on computations

In this section we explain how we compute the left and right coactions of the top degree relation defining each Nichols algebra. Set $\mathcal{H}' = \mathcal{H}^2$, $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda, \mu)$, $\mathcal{L}' = \mathcal{L}(\lambda, \mu)$. See Subsection 3.3 for the notation. We use [GAP] together with the package [GBNP] to show $\mathcal{A}' \neq 0$.

Now, recall that $\mathfrak{B}(V)\#\Bbbk G = \mathcal{H}'/\langle z\rangle$ and z is an element of $T(V)$, of degree ℓ, that is $z = \phi(x_1, \dots, x_\theta)$ for some homogeneous polynomial $\phi(F_1, \dots, F_\theta)$ of degree ℓ in the free algebra $\Bbbk\langle F_1, \dots, F_\theta\rangle$. Set, by abuse of notation $z = \phi(y_1, \dots y_\theta) \in \mathcal{A}'$. We need to compute

(i) The coaction $\rho(z) \in \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{H}'$.
(ii) The section $\gamma(z) \in \mathcal{A}'$.
(iii) The coaction $\lambda(\gamma(z)) \in \mathcal{L}' \otimes \mathcal{A}'$.

For item (i) we proceed as follows. We work with $4\theta+3$ variables $G_1, \dots, G_\theta$, $X_1, \dots, X_\theta$, T, $H_1 \dots, H_\theta$, $Y_1, \dots, Y_\theta$, U, V. The variables G_i and H_i stand for the elements $g_i \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes g_i$ in $\mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{H}'$ respectively. The variables X_i and Y_i stand for $x_i \otimes 1$ and $1 \otimes y_i$, respectively. The variable T stands for $\otimes$ and it is included for presentation purposes. U and V are not always necessary, they are included to have an homogeneous system of generators. In most cases one of them is enough, there are cases where we can omit them. We fix two random rational numbers s, t, corresponding to λ_1, λ_2.

We define an ideal K of relations in the algebra generated by these variables whose generators are, for every $1 \leq i, j \leq \theta$ and for every class $C \in \mathcal{R}'$:

$$\begin{array}{llll} Y_iX_j - X_jY_i, & Y_iG_j - G_jY_i, & H_iX_j - X_jH_i, & G_iX_j + X_{i\triangleright j}G_i, \\ H_iY_j + Y_{i\triangleright j}H_i, & G_iG_j - G_{i\triangleright j}G_i, & H_iH_j - H_{i\triangleright j}H_i, & X_i^n, \\ b_C(\{X_i\}_{i\in X}), & Y_i^n - t\,U, & b_C(\{Y_i\}_{i\in X}) + s\,V. & \end{array}$$

Also, all U, V, T commute with every other variable. Recall that $b_C(\cdot)$ stands for a generator of the space of quadratic relations, see (22). This ideal is homogeneous in the letters X ,Y, G, H, T, U, V if we declare all but U, V of degree 1, U of degree n and V of degree 2. We compute the (truncated, up to degree ℓ) Gröbner basis of K.

We define $d_i = Y_iT + H_iTX_i$, $t_i = H_iTG_i$, $i = 1, \dots, \theta$. These elements stand for the coaction of y_i and g_i in $\mathcal{A}'$. We can now compute the coaction of any element in $\mathcal{A}'$ by adding and multiplying the d_i's and t_i's in a suitable way. In particular, we get $\rho(z)$. We have, in the place of $\otimes$, a term T^ℓ, which we recognize as $\otimes$. Also, for every appearance of U, V we consider this as 1.

We now explain how we get $\gamma(z)$ and $\lambda(\gamma(z))$ in items (ii) and (iii). Let $\rho(z) - t_z \otimes z = \sum A_i \otimes B_i$ and let z' be the sum of the terms B_i of greatest degree with $A_i \in \Bbbk G$. Re-write the B_i's in the variables Y_i and consider $z_1 = z - z'$. We calculate $\rho(z_1)$ and repeat the proceeding: in the examples considered, the order of the elements we subtract decreases. When $\rho(z_m) - 1 \otimes z \in \mathcal{A}' \otimes \Bbbk G$, for some m, we get that $\rho(z_m) = z_m \otimes g(z) + 1 \otimes z$ and thus $\gamma(z) = z_m$. We find $\lambda(\gamma(z))$ in (iii) in a similar way as we did for $\rho(z)$.

Example 5.5. Let $X = (\mathbb{F}_4, \omega)$. We use [GAP, GBNP] to see that the algebra $\mathcal{A}' = \mathcal{A}^2(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ are nonzero. A Gröbner basis may be found in `http://www.mate.uncor.edu/∼aigarcia/publicaciones.htm`.
Set $z = (y_0y_1y_2)^2 + (y_1y_2y_0)^2 + (y_2y_0y_1)^2 \in \mathcal{A}'$, $t_z = g_0^3 g_1^3 \in G$. Using [GAP, GBNP], the coaction of z in $\mathcal{A}'$ is $\rho(z) = z \otimes 1 + t_z \otimes z$ plus:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lambda_2 h_0^2 h_3^2 \otimes x_1x_0x_2x_1 + \lambda_2 h_0^2 h_1 h_3 \otimes x_0x_2x_1x_0 + \lambda_2 h_0^2 h_1^2 \otimes x_2x_1x_0x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_1h_3(y_2 - y_0) \otimes x_2x_1x_0 + \lambda_2 h_0h_1h_3(y_1 - y_2) \otimes x_1x_0x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_1h_3(y_0 - y_1) \otimes x_0x_2x_1 + \lambda_2 h_0^2 h_1(y_3 - y_1) \otimes x_0x_1x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_1^2(y_0 - y_3) \otimes x_1x_2x_1 + \lambda_2 h_0^2 h_3(y_2 - y_3) \otimes x_0x_1x_0\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_1h_3(y_0y_2 - y_1y_2 - y_0y_1) \otimes x_2x_1 + 2\lambda_2\lambda_1 h_1h_3 \otimes x_2x_1\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_3(y_2y_1 + y_1y_2) \otimes x_1x_0 + \lambda_2 h_0h_2(y_1y_0 + y_0y_1) \otimes x_0x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_1(y_2y_3 - y_2y_1 - 2y_1y_3 + y_1y_0 + y_0y_3) \otimes x_1x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0h_1(y_0y_2 + 2y_2y_3 - y_2y_1 - y_1y_3 - y_0y_3) \otimes x_0x_1\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_0(y_2y_1y_0 - y_1y_2y_1 - y_1y_0y_3 - y_0y_1y_3) \otimes x_0\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_1(y_0y_2y_1 + y_0y_1y_2 - y_2y_1y_3 - y_1y_2y_3) \otimes x_1\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_2(y_1y_2y_3 + y_1y_0y_2 - y_0y_2y_3 + y_0y_1y_3 - y_0y_1y_0) \otimes x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)h_0h_3 \otimes x_1x_0 + \lambda_2(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)h_0h_2 \otimes x_0x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2(\lambda_2 - 2\lambda_1)h_0(y_3 - y_2) \otimes x_0 + \lambda_2 h_0(\lambda_2 y_1 - \lambda_1 y_0) \otimes x_0\\
&\ + \lambda_2(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)h_1(y_0 - y_3) \otimes x_1 - \lambda_1\lambda_2 h_2(y_2 + y_3) \otimes x_2\\
&\ + \lambda_2 h_2(2\lambda_1 - \lambda_2)y_1 \otimes x_2 + \lambda_2 h_2(\lambda_2 y_0 - \lambda_1 y_3) \otimes x_2.
\end{aligned}$$

If $z_1 = z - \lambda_2 z' + \lambda_2(\lambda_2 - \lambda_1)z''$ where $z' = y_1y_0y_2y_1 + y_0y_2y_1y_0 + y_2y_1y_0y_2$, $z'' = y_2y_1 + y_1y_0 + y_0y_2$, we get $\rho(z_1 t(z)^{-1}) = z_1 t(z)^{-1} \otimes t(z)^{-1} + 1 \otimes z$. Thus, $\gamma(zt(z)^{-1}) = z_1 t(z)^{-1}$. Also, $\gamma(z) = z_1$ and the computation of $\lambda(\gamma(z)) - 1 \otimes \gamma(z)$ yields s_X in Subsection 5.2.

References

[AAMGV] Andruskiewitsch, N., Angiono, I., García Iglesias, A., Masuoka, A. and Vay, C., *Twists, cocycles and Hopf-Galois extensions. Lifting via cocycle deformation.* In preparation.

[AFGaV] Andruskiewitsch N., Fantino, F., García, G., Vendramin, L., *On twisted homogeneous racks of type D*, Rev. Un. Mat. Argentina **51**, 1–16, (2010).

[AFGV1] Andruskiewitsch N., Fantino, F., Graña, Vendramín, L., *Finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras with alternating groups are trivial*, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4) **190** (2), 225–245, (2011).

[AFGV2] ———, *Pointed Hopf algebras over the sporadic simple groups*, J. Algebra **325**, 305–320, (2011).

[AG1] Andruskiewitsch, N., Graña, M., *Braided Hopf algebras over non abelian finite groups*, Bol. Acad. Ciencias (Córdoba) **63**, 45–78, (1999).

[AG2] ———, *From racks to pointed Hopf algebras*, Adv. Math. **178**, 177–243, (2003).

[AG3] ———, *Examples of liftings of Nichols algebras over racks*, AMA Algebra Montp. Announc. (electronic), Paper **1**, (2003).

[AHS] Andruskiewitsch, N., Heckenberger, I., Schneider, H.J., *The Nichols algebra of a semisimple Yetter-Drinfeld module*, Amer. J. Math. **132**, 1493–1547, (2010).

[ArMŞ] Ardizzoni A., Menini C., Ştefan D., *A Monoidal Approach to Splitting Morphisms of Bialgebras*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **359**, 991–1044, (2007).

[AS1] Andruskiewitsch, N., Schneider, H.J., *Finite quantum groups and Cartan matrices*, Adv. Math. **154**, 1–45, (2000).

[AS2] ———, *Pointed Hopf algebras*, "New directions in Hopf algebras", MSRI series Cambridge Univ. Press; 1–68, (2002).

[AS3] ———, *On the classification of finite-dimensional pointed Hopf algebras*, Ann. of Math. **171**, 375–417, (2010).

[AV1] Andruskiewitsch, N., Vay, C., *Finite dimensional Hopf algebras over the dual group algebra of the symmetric group in three letters*, Comm. Algebra **39**, 4507–4517, (2011).

[AV2] ———, *On a family of Hopf algebras of dimension 72*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin **19**, 415–443, (2012).

[A1] Angiono, I., *A presentation by generators and relations of Nichols algebras of diagonal type and convex orders on root systems*, J. Eur. Math. Soc., to appear, `arXiv:1008.4144`.

[A2] ———, *On Nichols algebras of diagonal type*, J. Reine Angew. Math., to appear, `arXiv:1104.0268`.

[CDMM] Călinescu, C., Dăscălescu, S., Masuoka, A., Menini, C., *Quantum lines over non-cocommutative cosemisimple Hopf algebras*, J. Algebra **273**, 753–779, (2004).

[EG] Etingof, P., Graña, M., *On rack cohomology* J. Pure Appl. Algebra **177**, 49–59, (2003).

[FG] Fantino, F., García, G. A., *On pointed Hopf algebras over dihedral groups*, Pacific J. Math, Vol. **252**, 69–91, (2011).

[FV] Fantino, F., Vendramín, L., *On twisted conjugacy classes of type D in sporadic simple groups*, Contemp. Math., to appear.

[FK] Fomin, S., Kirilov A. N., *Quadratic algebras, Dunkl elements and Schubert calculus*, Progr. Math. **172**, 146–182 (1999).

[GaM] García, G. A., Mastnak, M., *Deformation by cocycles of pointed Hopf algebras over non-abelian groups*, `arXiv:1203.0957v1`.

[GIM] García Iglesias, A., Mombelli, M. *Representations of the category of modules over pointed Hopf algebras over $\mathbb{S}_3$ and $\mathbb{S}_4$*, Pacific J. Math. **252**, 343–378, (2011).

[GAP] The GAP Group, *GAP — Groups, Algorithms and Programming.* Version **4.4.12**, (2008), http://www.gap-system.org.

[GBNP] Cohen, A. M., Gijsbers, D. A. H., *GBNP 0.9.5 (Non-commutative Gröbner bases)*, http://www.win.tue.nl/∼amc.

[GG] García, G. A., García Iglesias, A., *Pointed Hopf algebras over $\mathbb{S}_4$*, Israel J. Math. **183**, 417–444, (2011).

[G1] Graña, M., *On Nichols algebras of low dimension*, New trends on Hopf algebra theory (La Falda, 1999), Contemp. Math. **267**, 111–134, (2000).

[G2] ———, *Zoo of finite-dimensional Nichols algebras of non-abelian group type*, available at `http://mate.dm.uba.ar/∼matiasg/zoo.html`.

[GHV] Graña, M., Heckenberger, I., Vendramín, L., *Nichols algebras of group type with many quadratic relations*, Adv. Math. **227**, 1956–1989, (2011).

[GT] Graña, M., Turaev, V., *Knot theory for self-indexed graphs*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **357**, 535–553, (2005).

[Gu] Gunther, R., *Crossed products for pointed Hopf algebras*, Comm. Algebra, **27**, 4389–4410, (1999).

[H] Heckenberger, I., *Classification of arithmetic root systems*, Adv. Math. **220**, 59–124, (2009).

[He] Helbig, M. *On the lifting of Nichols algebras*, `arXiv:1003.5882v1`.

[HLV] Heckenberger, I., Lochmann, A., Vendramín, L., *Braided racks, Hurwitz actions and Nichols algebras with many cubic relations*, Transf. groups, to appear.

[J] Joyce, D., *A classifying invariant of knots, the knot quandle*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **23**, 37–65, (1982).

[K] Kassel, C., *Quantum Groups*, Springer, Berlin, 1995.

[Ma1] A. Masuoka, *Defending the negated Kaplansky conjecture*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129**, 3185–3192, (2001).

[Ma2] ———, *Abelian and non-abelian second cohomologies of quantized enveloping algebras*. J. Algebra, **320**, 1–47, (2008).

[MS] Milinski, A., Schneider, H.J., *Pointed indecomposable Hopf algebras over Coxeter groups*, Contemp. Math. **267**, 215–236, (2000).

[S1] Schauenburg, P., *A characterization of the Borel-like subalgebras of quantum enveloping algebras.*, Comm. Algebra **24**, 2811–2823, (1996).

[S2] ———, *Hopf Bigalois extentions*, Comm. Algebra **24**, 3797–3825, (1996).

[V] Vendramin, L., *Nichols algebras associated to the transpositions of the symmetric group are twist-equivalent*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **140**, 3715–3723, (2012).

FaMAF-CIEM (CONICET), Universidad Nacional de Córdoba, Medina Allende s/n, Ciudad Universitaria, 5000 Córdoba, República Argentina.

E-mail address: `(aigarcia|vay)@famaf.unc.edu.ar`